

CONORMAL PROBLEM OF HIGHER-ORDER PARABOLIC SYSTEMS

HONGJIE DONG AND HONG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. The paper is a comprehensive study of the L_p and the Schauder estimates for higher-order divergence type parabolic systems with discontinuous coefficients in the half space and cylindrical domains with conormal derivative boundary condition. For the L_p estimates, we assume that the leading coefficients are only bounded measurable in the t variable and VMO with respect to x . We also prove the Schauder estimates in two situations: the coefficients are Hölder continuous only in the x variable; the coefficients are Hölder continuous in both variables.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Main results	6
3. Some auxiliary estimates	10
4. L_p estimate of $D_d^m u$ for systems with special coefficients	13
5. Mean oscillation estimate for $D_{x'} D^{m-1} u$	19
6. Proof of Theorem 2.2	27
7. Schauder estimates for systems on the half space	31
8. Proof of Theorem 2.4	42
References	48

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is devoted to the study of the L_p and Schauder estimates for higher-order divergence type parabolic systems with conormal derivative boundary condition.

Many authors have studied the L_p estimates for parabolic systems with discontinuous coefficients. It is of particular interest not only because of its various important applications in nonlinear equations, but also due to its subtle link with the theory of stochastic processes. A good reference is [24].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J58, 35R05, 35B45.

Key words and phrases. higher-order systems, L_p estimates, Schauder estimates.

H. Dong was partially supported by the NSF under agreement DMS-1056737.

H. Zhang was partially supported by the NSF under agreement DMS-1056737.

In many references including, for example, [1], the L_p estimates for systems with constant or continuous coefficients are obtained by relying on the exact representation of solutions and the Calderón–Zygmund theorem. Another approach for such L_p estimates is that of Campanato–Stampachia using Stampachia’s interpolation theorem (see [14]). In this paper, we expand the L_p theory of higher-order parabolic systems to include a class of discontinuous coefficients.

For systems in the whole space and in the half space with the Dirichlet boundary condition, the first author and Kim [9] obtained the L_p estimates with coefficients VMO in the spatial variable x (also denoted by VMO_x), under the Legendre–Hadamard ellipticity condition:

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \xi_i \xi_j \eta^\alpha \eta^\beta \geq \delta |\xi|^2 |\eta|^{2m}, \quad (1.1)$$

where m is a positive integer, $A^{\alpha\beta}$ are $n \times n$ matrices, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\delta > 0$ is a constant, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$ and $\eta^\alpha = \eta_1^{\alpha_1} \eta_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \eta_d^{\alpha_d}$. The current paper can be viewed as a continuation of [9]. To present our results, we let

$$\mathcal{L}u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m} D^\alpha (A^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta u),$$

where $D^\alpha = D_1^{\alpha_1} \dots D_d^{\alpha_d}$. The functions used throughout this paper

$$u = (u^1, \dots, u^n)^{tr}, \quad f_\alpha = (f_\alpha^1, \dots, f_\alpha^n)^{tr}$$

are real vector-valued functions. For the L_p estimates, the parabolic systems we consider are of the form

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}u + \lambda u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \quad \text{in } (-\infty, T) \times \Omega \quad (1.2)$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition, where $\lambda \geq 0$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and Ω is either the half space or a $C^{m-1,1}$ domain. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(-\infty, T) \times \Omega} (-u \phi_t + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m} (A^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta u D^\alpha \phi) + \lambda u \phi) dx dt \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{(-\infty, T) \times \Omega} f_\alpha D^\alpha \phi dx dt \end{aligned}$$

for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty((-\infty, T) \times \overline{\Omega})$.

We prove that if the coefficients $A^{\alpha\beta}(t, x)$ are VMO in the spatial variable x and satisfy the strong ellipticity condition (for a precise definition see (2.5)), then the solution u of (1.2) with the conormal derivative boundary condition satisfies the following estimate

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{1-\frac{k}{2m}} \|D^k u\|_{L_p((-\infty, T) \times \Omega)} \leq N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_p((-\infty, T) \times \Omega)},$$

where N is a constant independent of u and λ is sufficiently large. We note that in the second-order case such result has been proved in [7] by using the result in the whole space and the technique of odd/even extensions. However, such technique does not work for higher-order equations or systems.

Our proof is in the spirit of an approach introduced by Krylov [25, 26] to deal with the second-order elliptic and parabolic equations with VMO_x coefficients in the whole space, which is well explained in his book [27]. Generally speaking, this approach consists of two steps. First, we establish mean oscillation estimates for systems with simple coefficients, i.e., the coefficients depending only on t . Second, we use a perturbation argument which is well suited to the mean oscillation estimates together with the Fefferman–Stein theorem on sharp functions and the Hardy–Littlewood theorem on maximal functions to obtain the desired L_p estimates.

In our case, we need to distinguish $D_d^m u$ from the other m th derivatives of u . Here are the new ingredients of our proof. we begin by considering special systems with simple coefficients as follows. Let u be a solution of

$$u_t + (-1)^m \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} D_j^{2m} u + (-1)^m D^{\hat{\alpha}}(A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(t) D^{\hat{\alpha}} u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \{x_d > 0\} \quad (1.3)$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$, where $\hat{\alpha} = (0, \dots, 0, m)$. One can show that the conormal boundary condition corresponding to the special system is given by

$$D_d^m u = \dots = D_d^{2m-1} u = 0 \quad \text{on } \{x_d = 0\}.$$

This allows us to use some estimates for non-divergence type systems with the Dirichlet boundary condition obtained in [9]. More precisely, we differentiate (1.3) m times with respect to x_d , and observe that $D_d^m u$ satisfies the Dirichlet boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$. We then apply a result in [9] to obtain a Hölder estimate and consequently a mean oscillation estimate of $D_d^m u$. The latter together with the Fefferman–Stein theorem and the Hardy–Littlewood theorem yields the L_p estimate of $D_d^m u$ in the half space for the special systems. For general systems in the half space with simple coefficients, we implement a scaling argument to control the L_p norm of $D_d^m u$ by the L_p norms of f_α and $D_{x'} D^{m-1} u$, which in view of the Sobolev embedding theorem implies a Hölder estimate of $D^{m-1} u$. Now using the fact that we can always differentiate the system with respect to x' , we are able to prove a local Hölder estimate of $D_{x'} D^{m-1} u$ for homogeneous systems. A mean oscillation estimate of $D_{x'} D^{m-1} u$ then follows from the Hölder estimate naturally for inhomogeneous systems with simple coefficients. For general coefficients, we use the argument of freezing the coefficients to obtain a mean oscillation estimate for $D_{x'} D^{m-1} u$ and a scaling argument to bound the L_p norm of $D_d^m u$ by f_α and $D_{x'} D^{m-1} u$ just as in the case of the simple coefficients. Combining the estimates of $D_{x'} D^{m-1} u$ and $D_d^m u$, we prove the L_p estimates.

In [5, 6], Chiarenza, Frasca, and Longo initiated the study of the W_p^2 estimates for second-order elliptic equations with VMO leading coefficients. Their proof is based on certain estimates of the Calderón–Zygmund theorem and the Coifman–Rochberg–Weiss commutator theorem. Recently, the first author and Kim [10] considered the conormal problem for higher-order elliptic systems with coefficients merely measurable in one direction and have small mean oscillation in orthogonal directions on each small ball. Regarding other developments in this direction, we refer the reader to Bramanti and Cerutti [2], Bramanti, Cerutti, and Manfredini [3], Di Fazio [12], Maugeri, Palagachev, and Softova [29], Palagachev and Softova [30], Krylov [27], and the references therein.

The second objective of this paper is to obtain the Schauder estimates for solutions to the following system:

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \quad (1.4)$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $(0, T) \times \partial\Omega$ and the zero initial condition on $\{0\} \times \Omega$, where $\partial\Omega \in C^{m,a}$, for some $a \in (0, 1)$. There is a vast literature on the Schauder estimates for parabolic and elliptic equations; see, for instant, [13, 20, 23]. The classical approaches are based on analyzing the fundamental solutions of the equations with a perturbation argument. On the other hand, when dealing with systems, it has become customary to use Campanato’s technique which was first introduced in [4], and is well explained in [14]. However, most of these results are obtained under the assumption that the coefficients are sufficiently regular in both t and x .

In this paper, for systems in the half space we estimate all the m th order spatial derivatives with the exception of $D_d^m u$, when the coefficients are only measurable in the t variable. This type of coefficient has been studied by several authors mostly for second-order equations; see, for instance, [15, 18, 22, 28]. Lieberman [18] studied the interior and boundary Schauder estimates for second-order parabolic equations with the same class of coefficients. In the proof, he used Campanato type approach and the maximum principle, the latter of which no longer works for systems or higher-order equations. Here we implement the L_p estimates obtained in the first part, with a bootstrap argument to obtain a local Hölder regularity for systems with simple coefficients, which yields the following mean oscillation estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_r^+(X_0)} |D_{x'} D^{m-1} u - (D_{x'} D^{m-1} u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq N \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{2\gamma+2m+d} \int_{Q_R^+(X_0)} |D_{x'} D^{m-1} u - (D_{x'} D^{m-1} u)_{Q_R^+(X_0)}|^2 dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, $0 < r < R < \infty$, $X_0 \in Q_R^+$, and u is the solution of

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 u := u_t + (-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} D^\alpha (A^{\alpha\beta}(t) D^\beta u) = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_{2R}^+$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{2R}$. We then prove that if the coefficients $A^{\alpha\beta}$ and f_α in (1.4) are Hölder continuous in the spatial variables x , then $D_{x'}D^{m-1}u$ is Hölder continuous in both t and x .

In contrast to the Dirichlet boundary condition case in [11], to estimate $D_d^m u$, more regularity assumptions on the coefficients and data are necessary. In fact, this is not surprising by considering the second-order equation

$$u_t - D_{11}u - D_2(a(t)D_2u) = D_2f(t) \quad \text{in} \quad (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_+^2$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition. The corresponding boundary condition is given by

$$D_2u = -f(t)/a(t) \quad \text{on} \quad \{x_2 = 0\},$$

which implies that D_2u is not necessarily continuous. In this paper, we also consider the case when the coefficients are Hölder continuous in both t and x . We show that under this stronger assumption all the m th order derivatives of u are Hölder continuous in both t and x . For the proof, it is sufficient to estimate $D_d^m u$. To this end, we consider a system with special coefficients as in the L_p case, and then use a scaling argument to bound the Hölder semi-norm of $D_d^m u$ by f_α and $D_{x'}D^{m-1}u$. Combining the estimate of $D_{x'}D^{m-1}u$, which we obtained in the previous case, we are able to estimate $D^m u$.

For linear systems, our Schauder estimate extends the results in Lieberman [17], in which the author considered second-order quasilinear parabolic equations with the conormal boundary condition. Schauder estimates for higher-order non-divergence type parabolic systems in the whole space, with the coefficients measurable in t and Hölder continuous in x , was considered recently in Boccia [31]. With the same class of coefficients, in [11] the authors obtained Schauder estimates for both divergence type and non-divergence type higher-order parabolic systems in the half space with the Dirichlet boundary condition. For more results about the conormal derivative problems, we refer the reader to Lieberman [16, 19] and his new book [21].

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce some notation and state our main results. The remaining part of the article can be divided into two parts. In the first part, we treat the L_p estimates. Section 3 provides some necessary preparations and Section 4 deals with the L_p estimates of $D_d^m u$ for systems with special coefficients. Section 5 and Section 6 are devoted to the proof of our main result of the L_p estimates. The second part is about the Schauder estimates. In Section 7, we establish necessary lemmas and prove the Schauder estimates near a flat boundary with coefficients Hölder continuous only with respect to x . Finally in Section 8, we prove the Schauder estimates when the coefficients are Hölder continuous in both t and x .

2. MAIN RESULTS

We first introduce some notation used throughout the paper. A point in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is denoted by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$. We also denote $x = (x', x_d)$, where $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. A point in

$$\mathbb{R}^{d+1} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d = \{(t, x) : t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$$

is denoted by $X = (t, x)$. For $T \in (-\infty, \infty]$, set

$$\mathcal{O}_T = (-\infty, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \mathcal{O}_T^+ = (-\infty, T) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d,$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+^d := \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_d > 0\}$. In particular, when $T = \infty$, we use $\mathcal{O}_\infty^+ = \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} := \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^d$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} B_r(x_0) &= \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x_0 - y| < r\}, \quad Q_r(t_0, x_0) = (t_0 - r^{2m}, t_0) \times B_r(x_0), \\ B_r^+(x_0) &= B_r(x_0) \cap \{x_d > 0\}, \quad Q_r^+(t_0, x_0) = Q_r(t_0, x_0) \cap \mathcal{O}_\infty^+. \end{aligned}$$

We use the abbreviation, for instance, Q_r to denote the parabolic cylinder centered at $(0, 0)$. The parabolic boundary of $Q_r(t_0, x_0)$ is defined to be

$$\partial_p Q_r(t_0, x_0) = [t_0, t_0 - r^{2m}) \times \partial B_r(x_0) \cup \{t = t_0 - r^{2m}\} \times B_r(x_0).$$

The parabolic boundary of $Q_r^+(t_0, x_0)$ is

$$\partial_p Q_r^+(t_0, x_0) = (\partial_p Q_r(t_0, x_0) \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+}) \cup (Q_r(t_0, x_0) \cap \{x_d = 0\}).$$

We denote

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\Omega = \int_\Omega f^{tr} g = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_\Omega f^j g^j.$$

For a function f on $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, we set

$$[f]_{a,b,\mathcal{D}} \equiv \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(t, x) - f(s, y)|}{|t - s|^a + |x - y|^b} : (t, x), (s, y) \in \mathcal{D}, (t, x) \neq (s, y) \right\},$$

where $a, b \in (0, 1]$. The Hölder semi-norm with respect to t is denoted by

$$\langle f \rangle_{a,\mathcal{D}} \equiv \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(t, x) - f(s, x)|}{|t - s|^a} : (t, x), (s, x) \in \mathcal{D}, t \neq s \right\},$$

where $a \in (0, 1]$. We also define the Hölder semi-norm with respect to x

$$[f]_{a,\mathcal{D}}^* \equiv \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(t, x) - f(t, y)|}{|x - y|^a} : (t, x), (t, y) \in \mathcal{D}, x \neq y \right\},$$

and denote

$$\|f\|_{a,\mathcal{D}}^* = \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})} + [f]_{a,\mathcal{D}}^*,$$

where $a \in (0, 1]$. The space corresponding to $\|\cdot\|_a^*$ is denoted by $C^{a*}(\mathcal{D})$. When $0 < a \leq 1$, let

$$\|f\|_{\frac{a}{2m},a,\mathcal{D}} = \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})} + [f]_{\frac{a}{2m},a,\mathcal{D}}.$$

The space corresponding to $\|\cdot\|_{\frac{a}{2m},a,\mathcal{D}}$ is denoted by $C^{\frac{a}{2m},a}(\mathcal{D})$. For $1 < a < 2m$ not an integer, we define

$$\|f\|_{\frac{a}{2m},a,\mathcal{D}} = \|f\|_{L_\infty(\mathcal{D})} + \sum_{|\alpha| < a} [D^\alpha f]_{\frac{a-|\alpha|}{2m},\{a\},\mathcal{D}},$$

where $\{a\} = a - [a]$.

We denote the average of f in $\mathcal{D}$ to be

$$(f)_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \int_{\mathcal{D}} f(t, x) dx dt = \oint_{\mathcal{D}} f(t, x) dx dt.$$

Sometimes we take average only with respect to x . For instance,

$$(f)_{B_R(x_0)}(t) = \oint_{B_R(x_0)} f(t, x) dx.$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that all the coefficients are measurable and bounded:

$$|A^{\alpha\beta}| \leq K.$$

In addition, we impose the strong ellipticity condition with a constant $\delta > 0$ on the leading coefficients, i.e.,

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \geq \delta \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |\xi_\alpha|^2, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\xi_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We note that the strong ellipticity condition, which is mainly used in proving Theorem 3.1, is stronger than the Legendre–Hadamard ellipticity condition (1.1). Here we call $A^{\alpha\beta}$ the leading coefficients if $|\alpha| = |\beta| = m$. All the other coefficients are called lower-order coefficients. In order to state and prove our results in Sobolev spaces, in addition to the well-known L_p and W_p^k spaces, we introduce the following function spaces. If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$, let

$$\mathcal{H}_p^m((S, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d) = (1 - \Delta)^{\frac{m}{2}} W_p^{1,2m}((S, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_p^m((S,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = \|(1 - \Delta)^{-\frac{m}{2}} u\|_{W_p^{1,2m}((S,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Here

$$W_p^{1,2m}((S, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d) = \{u : u_t, D^\alpha u \in L_p((S, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d), 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2m\}$$

equipped with its natural norm. Notice that if we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}_p^{-m}((S, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d) &= (1 - \Delta)^{\frac{m}{2}} L_p((S, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d), \\ \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}_p^{-m}((S,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)} &= \|(1 - \Delta)^{-\frac{m}{2}} f\|_{L_p((S,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_p^m((S,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \cong \|u_t\|_{\mathbb{H}_p^{-m}((S,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L_p((S,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

For a general domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we set

$$\mathbb{H}_p^{-m}((S, T) \times \Omega) = \left\{ f : f = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha, f_\alpha \in L_p((S, T) \times \Omega) \right\},$$

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}_p^{-m}((S, T) \times \Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_p((S, T) \times \Omega)} : f = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \right\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}_p^m((S, T) \times \Omega) \\ &= \{u : u_t \in \mathbb{H}_p^{-m}((S, T) \times \Omega), D^\alpha u \in L_p((S, T) \times \Omega), 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m\}, \\ & \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_p^m((S, T) \times \Omega)} = \|u_t\|_{\mathbb{H}_p^{-m}((S, T) \times \Omega)} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L_p((S, T) \times \Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_r(t, x) \cap \mathcal{O}_\infty^+ : (t, x) \in \mathcal{O}_\infty^+, r \in (0, \infty)\}$. For a function g defined on $\mathcal{O}_\infty^+$, we denote its (parabolic) maximal and sharp functions, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} Mg(t, x) &= \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}: (t, x) \in Q} \int_Q |g(s, y)| dy ds, \\ g^\#(t, x) &= \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}: (t, x) \in Q} \int_Q |g(s, y) - (g)_Q| dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|g\|_{L_p} \leq N \|g^\#\|_{L_p}, \quad \|Mg\|_{L_p} \leq N \|g\|_{L_p},$$

if $g \in L_p$, where $1 < p < \infty$ and $N = N(d, p)$. As is well known, the first inequality above is due to the Fefferman–Stein theorem on sharp functions and the second one is the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function theorem.

Now we state our regularity assumption on the leading coefficients for the L_p estimates. Let

$$\text{osc}_x(A^{\alpha\beta}, Q_r^+(t, x)) = \int_{t-r^{2m}}^t \int_{B_r^+(x)} |A^{\alpha\beta}(s, y) - \int_{B_r^+(x)} A^{\alpha\beta}(s, z) dz| dy ds,$$

which is the mean oscillation in the spatial variables. Then we set

$$A_R^\# = \sup_{(t, x) \in \mathcal{O}_\infty^+} \sup_{r \leq R} \sup_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} \text{osc}_x(A^{\alpha\beta}, Q_r^+(t, x)).$$

We impose on the leading coefficients a small mean oscillation condition with a parameter $\rho > 0$, which is specified later.

Assumption 2.1. (ρ). There is a constant $R_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that $A_{R_0}^\# \leq \rho$.

We are now ready to present our main results. The first one is the L_p estimates.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\partial\Omega \in C^{m-1,1}$, $T \in (-\infty, \infty]$, and $f_\alpha \in L_p((-\infty, T) \times \Omega)$ for $|\alpha| \leq m$. The operator L satisfies (2.5). Then there exist constants $\rho = \rho(d, n, m, \delta, p, K)$, and $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(d, n, m, \delta, p, K, R_0)$ such that, under Assumption 2.1 (ρ), for any $u \in \mathcal{H}_p^m((-\infty, T) \times \Omega)$ satisfying*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}u + \lambda u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \quad \text{in } (-\infty, T) \times \Omega \quad (2.6)$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $(-\infty, T) \times \partial\Omega$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{1-\frac{k}{2m}} \|D^k u\|_{L_p((-\infty, T) \times \Omega)} \leq N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_p((-\infty, T) \times \Omega)}, \quad (2.7)$$

provided that $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, where N depends only on d, n, m, δ, p, K , and Ω .

Moreover, if $\lambda > \lambda_0$, there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{H}_p^m((-\infty, T) \times \Omega)$ of (2.6), which satisfies (2.7).

The following result is regarding the Schauder estimates near a flat boundary with coefficients only measurable in the t variable.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that $a \in (0, 1)$, $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+})$ satisfying*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \quad \text{in } Q_{4R}^+$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{4R}$, $f_\alpha \in C^{a*}$ if $|\alpha| = m$, $f_\alpha \in L_\infty$ if $|\alpha| < m$, and $A^{\alpha\beta} \in C^{a*}$. The operator L satisfies (2.5). Then for any $R \leq 1$, there exists a constant $N = N(d, n, m, \delta, K, a, \|A^{\alpha\beta}\|_{a,*}^*, R)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & [D_{x'} D^{m-1} u]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_R^+} \\ & \leq N \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)} + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} [f_\alpha]_{a, Q_{4R}^+}^* + \sum_{|\alpha| < m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Our last result is regarding the Schauder estimates in cylindrical domains with more regularity assumptions on the coefficients.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that $a \in (0, 1)$, $\partial\Omega \in C^{m,a}$, $T \in (0, \infty)$, $f_\alpha \in C^{\frac{a}{2m}, a}$ if $|\alpha| = m$ and $f_\alpha \in L_\infty$ if $|\alpha| < m$. The operator $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies (2.5), and $A^{\alpha\beta} \in C^{\frac{a}{2m}, a}$. Then the equation*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $(0, T) \times \partial\Omega$ and the zero initial condition on $\{0\} \times \Omega$ has a unique solution $u \in C^{\frac{a+m}{2m}, a+m}((0, T) \times \Omega)$. Moreover, there exists a constant $N = N(d, n, m, \delta, K, \|A^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\frac{a}{2m}, a}, \Omega, T, a)$ such that,

$$\|u\|_{\frac{a+m}{2m}, a+m, (0, T) \times \Omega} \leq N (\|u\|_{L_2((0, T) \times \Omega)} + G), \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$G = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} [f_\alpha]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, (0,T) \times \Omega} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_\infty((0,T) \times \Omega)}.$$

3. SOME AUXILIARY ESTIMATES

In this section we consider operators without lower-order terms. Denote

$$\mathcal{L}_0 u = \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} D^\alpha (A^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta u),$$

where $A^{\alpha\beta} = A^{\alpha\beta}(t)$. Let $C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{O}_T^+})$ be the collection of infinitely differentiable functions defined on $\overline{\mathcal{O}_T^+}$ vanishing for large $|(t, x)|$.

The following L_2 estimate for parabolic operators in the divergence form with measurable coefficients is classical.

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a constant $N = N(d, m, n, \delta)$ such that for any $\lambda \geq 0$,*

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O}_T^+)} \leq N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O}_T^+)}, \quad (3.9)$$

provided that $u \in \mathcal{H}_2^m(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ satisfies

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 u + \lambda u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \quad (3.10)$$

in $\mathcal{O}_T^+$ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$, and $f_\alpha \in L_2(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$, $|\alpha| \leq m$. Furthermore, for any $\lambda > 0$ and $f_\alpha \in L_2(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$, there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{H}_2^m(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ to the system (3.10) with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$.

Proof. We assume $\lambda > 0$. If $\lambda = 0$ the inequality (3.9) holds trivially or we obtain

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O}_T^+)} \leq N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O}_T^+)} \quad \text{if } f_\alpha = 0 \text{ for } |\alpha| < m$$

using the inequality (3.9) for $\lambda > 0$ and letting $\lambda \searrow 0$.

If we have proved the inequality (3.9), then due to the fact that

$$u_t = -(-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} D^\alpha (A^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta u) - \lambda u + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha,$$

we obtain

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^m(\mathcal{O}_T^+)} \leq N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O}_T^+)},$$

where $N = N(d, n, m, \delta, \lambda)$. Then using this estimate, the method of continuity, and the unique solvability of system with coefficients $A^{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} I_{n \times n}$ we prove the second assertion of the theorem. Hence, it is clear that we only need to prove the inequality (3.9). Moreover, by a density argument it is obvious that we can assume that $u \in C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{O}_T^+})$.

By the weak formulation of the conormal derivative boundary condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle u, u_t \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} + \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} \langle D^\alpha u, A^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta u \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} + \lambda \langle u, u \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle D^\alpha u, f_\alpha \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_T^+}. \end{aligned}$$

From the strong ellipticity condition, we get

$$\delta \int_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} |D^m u|^2 dx dt \leq \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} \langle D^\alpha u, A^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta u \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_T^+}.$$

Moreover,

$$2\langle u, u_t \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} = \int_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |u|^2(t, x) dx dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} |u|^2(T, x) dx \geq 0.$$

Hence for any $\varepsilon > 0$, by Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta \int_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} |D^m u|^2 dx dt + \lambda \int_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} |u|^2 dx dt \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} D^\alpha u f_\alpha dx dt \\ & \leq \varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{m-|\alpha|}{m}} \int_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} |D^\alpha u|^2 dx dt + N\varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{-\frac{m-|\alpha|}{m}} \int_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} |f_\alpha|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof, it suffices to use interpolation inequalities and choose ε sufficiently small depending on δ, d, m , and n . $\square$

By Theorem 3.1 and adapting the proofs of Lemmas 3.2 and 7.2 in [8] to the conormal case, we have the following local L_2 estimates.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $0 < r < R < \infty$. Assume that $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}})$ satisfies*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 u = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_R^+ \quad (3.11)$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_R$. Then there exists a constant $N = N(d, m, n, \delta)$ such that for $j = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\|D^j u\|_{L_2(Q_r^+)} \leq N(R-r)^{-j} \|u\|_{L_2(Q_R^+)}.$$

Corollary 3.3. *Let $0 < r < R < \infty$ and assume that $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}})$ satisfies (3.11) with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_R$. Then for any multi-index θ satisfying $\theta_d \leq m$, we have*

$$\|D^\theta u\|_{L_2(Q_r^+)} \leq N \|u\|_{L_2(Q_R^+)},$$

where $N = N(d, m, n, \delta, R, r, \theta)$.

Proof. Obviously, $D_{x'}^k u$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ satisfies the same equation and boundary condition as u . After applying Lemma 3.2 repeatedly, we immediately see that

$$\|D^j D_{x'}^k u\|_{L_2(Q_r^+)} \leq N \|u\|_{L_2(Q_R^+)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

The corollary is proved. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $R \in (0, \infty)$. Assume that $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{Q_\infty^+})$ satisfies*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \quad \text{in } Q_{2R}^+$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{2R}$. Let $P(x)$ be a vector-valued polynomial of order $m-1$ and satisfy

$$(D^\alpha P(x))_{Q_R^+} = (D^\alpha u)_{Q_R^+}$$

for $|\alpha| < m$. Let $v = u - P(x)$. Then there exists a constant $N = N(d, n, m)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D^\alpha v\|_{L_2(Q_R^+)} \\ & \leq N R^{m-|\alpha|} \|D^m u\|_{L_2(Q_R^+)} + N \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} R^{3m+d/2-|\alpha|-|\beta|} \|f_\beta\|_{L_\infty(Q_R^+)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $|\alpha| < m$. If $f_\alpha = 0$ for any α , then the following inequality holds

$$\|D^\alpha v\|_{L_2(Q_R^+)} \leq N R^{|\beta|-|\alpha|} \|D^\beta v\|_{L_2(Q_R^+)},$$

where $|\alpha| < |\beta| \leq m$.

Proof. By using a scaling argument, we only need to consider the case when $R = 1$. Choose $\xi(y) \in C_0^\infty(B_1^+)$ with unit integral. Then set

$$g_\alpha(t) = \int_{B_1^+} D^\alpha v(t, y) \xi(y) dy, \quad c_\alpha = \int_{-1}^0 g_\alpha(t) dt$$

for $|\alpha| < m$. By Hölder's inequality and the Poincaré inequality, the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_1^+} |D^\alpha v(t, x) - g_\alpha(t)|^2 dx = \int_{B_1^+} \left| \int_{B_1^+} (D^\alpha v(t, x) - D^\alpha v(t, y)) \xi(y) dy \right|^2 dx \\ & \leq N \int_{B_1^+} \int_{B_1^+} |D^\alpha v(t, x) - D^\alpha v(t, y)|^2 dy dx \leq N \int_{B_1^+} |D^{|\alpha|+1} v(t, y)|^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

Because $(D^\alpha v)_{Q_1^+} = 0$, by the triangle inequality and the Poincaré inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D^\alpha v\|_{L_2(Q_1^+)} \leq \|D^\alpha v - c_\alpha\|_{L_2(Q_1^+)} \\ & \leq \|D^\alpha v - g_\alpha(t)\|_{L_2(Q_1^+)} + \|g_\alpha(t) - c_\alpha\|_{L_2(Q_1^+)} \\ & \leq N \|D^{|\alpha|+1} v\|_{L_2(Q_1^+)} + N \|\partial_t g_\alpha\|_{L_2(-1,0)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Since v satisfies the same equations as u , by the definition of g_α ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t g_\alpha(t) &= \int_{B_1^+} \xi(y) D^\alpha \partial_t v(t, y) dy \\ &= \int_{B_1^+} (-1)^{m+1} \xi(y) D^\alpha \mathcal{L}_0 v(t, y) dy + \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} \int_{B_1^+} \xi(y) D^\alpha D^\beta f_\beta dy. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term of the right-hand side of the equality above, we leave $|\alpha| + 1$ derivatives on v and move all the others to ξ . For the second term, we move all the derivatives to ξ . Therefore, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t g_\alpha(t)| &\leq N \int_{B_1^+} |D^{|\alpha|+1} v| dy + N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(Q_1^+)} \\ &\leq N \|D^{|\alpha|+1} v(t, \cdot)\|_{L_2(B_1^+)} + N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(Q_1^+)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Combining (3.13) and (3.12), we prove the desired estimate for $R = 1$ by induction. $\square$

4. L_p ESTIMATE OF $D_d^m u$ FOR SYSTEMS WITH SPECIAL COEFFICIENTS

In this section we consider the following special system:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 = D^{\hat{\alpha}}(A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(t)D^{\hat{\alpha}}) + \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^m I_{n \times n},$$

where

$$\hat{\alpha} = (0, \dots, 0, m), \quad \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^m = \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} D_j^{2m}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a constant $N = N(d, m, n, \delta)$ such that for any $\lambda \geq 0$,*

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{1 - \frac{|\alpha|}{2m}} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O}_T^+)} \leq N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O}_T^+)}$$

provided that $u \in \mathcal{H}_2^m(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ satisfies

$$u_t + (-1)^m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 u + \lambda u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha$$

in $\mathcal{O}_T^+$ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$, and $f_\alpha \in L_2(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$, $|\alpha| \leq m$. Furthermore, for any $\lambda > 0$ and $f_\alpha \in L_2(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$, $|\alpha| \leq m$, there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{H}_2^m(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ to the system (3.10) with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$.

Proof. We follow the proof of Theorem 3.9 and only need to show an a priori estimate. By the weak formulation of the conormal derivative boundary condition,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, u_t \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \langle D_j^m u, D_j^m u \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} + \langle D_d^m u, A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}} D_d^m u \rangle + \lambda \langle u, u \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} \\ = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle D^\alpha u, f_\alpha \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_T^+}. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}} \geq \delta I_{n \times n}$. Hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \langle D_j^m u, D_j^m u \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} + \langle D_d^m u, A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}} D_d^m u \rangle \geq \delta \sum_{j=1}^d \|D_j^m u\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O}_T^+)}^2.$$

From Proposition 1 of [9], we know that

$$\|D^m u\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O}_T^+)} \leq N \sum_{j=1}^d \|D_j^m u\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O}_T^+)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \langle D_j^m u, D_j^m u \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} + \langle D_d^m u, A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}} D_d^m u \rangle \geq \frac{\delta}{N} \|D^m u\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O}_T^+)}^2.$$

The rest of the proof just follows the proof of Theorem 3.1, and thus is omitted. $\square$

Remark 4.2. From the proof of Lemma 4.1, we see that Theorem 3.1 still holds when the strong ellipticity is replaced by a weak condition:

$$\delta \sum_{j=1}^d \langle D_j^m u, D_j^m u \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_T^+} \leq \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} \langle D^\alpha u, A^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta u \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_T^+}. \quad (4.14)$$

for any $u \in C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{O}_T^+})$.

We have the following observation.

Lemma 4.3. Assume $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+})$ and satisfies

$$u_t + (-1)^m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 u = 0 \quad (4.15)$$

in Q_4^+ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_4$. Then the boundary condition is given by

$$D_d^m u = \dots = D_d^{2m-1} u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_4.$$

Proof. Following the definition, the weak formulation of the system is

$$- \int_{Q_4^+} u \phi_t dx dt + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \int_{Q_4^+} D_j^m u D_j^m \phi dx dt + \int_{Q_4^+} A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(t) D_d^m u D_d^m \phi dx dt = 0,$$

where $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\overline{Q_4^+})$. Since only the boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$ is considered, we integrate by parts and boundary terms appear in the last term of the equation above. Let us denote $\Sigma := \{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_4$. We integrate by parts repeatedly and the boundary term is

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j+1} A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}} D_d^{m+j-1} u D_d^{m-j} \phi dx' dt = 0.$$

Since ϕ is an arbitrary smooth function and $A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}$ is positive definite, we find that

$$A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}} D_d^{m+j-1} u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

i.e.,

$$D_d^m u = \dots = D_d^{2m-1} u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma.$$

The lemma is proved. $\square$

We state a conclusion in Remark 6 of [9] and notice that the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0$ satisfies the Legendre–Hadamard condition (1.1).

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}})$ and satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + (-1)^m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 u &= 0 \quad \text{in } Q_{2R}^+, \\ u = \dots = D_d^{m-1} u &= 0 \quad \text{on } Q_{2R} \cap \{x_d = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for any $0 < r < R < \infty$, there exists a constant N depending on d, n, m, K, δ, r, R , and a such that

$$\|u\|_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_r^+} \leq N \|u\|_{L_2(Q_R^+)},$$

where $0 < a < 2m$.

Next we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\lambda \geq 0$. Assume that $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+})$ satisfies*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 u + \lambda u = 0 \tag{4.16}$$

in Q_2^+ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_2$. Then there exists $N = N(d, m, n, \delta, K)$ such that

$$[D_d^m u]_{\frac{1}{2m}, 1, Q_1^+} \leq N \|D_d^m u\|_{L_2(Q_2^+)}.$$

Proof. For the case $\lambda = 0$, as noted in Lemma 4.3, the conormal boundary condition for (4.15) is given by

$$D_d^m u = \dots = D_d^{2m-1} u = 0 \quad \text{on } \{x_d = 0\}.$$

We differentiate (4.15) m times with respect to x_d and let $v = D_d^m u$. Then we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} v_t + (-1)^m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 v &= 0 \quad \text{in } Q_2^+, \\ v = D_d v = \dots = D_d^{m-1} v &= 0 \quad \text{on } \{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.4 with $a = 1$,

$$[v]_{\frac{1}{2m}, 1, Q_1^+} \leq N \|v\|_{L_2(Q_2^+)}$$

Since $v = D_d^m u$, we prove the case when $\lambda = 0$. For the case when $\lambda > 0$, we apply an idea of S. Agmon, the details of which can be found in Corollary 5.5. $\square$

Lemma 4.6. *Let $r \in (0, \infty)$, $\kappa \in [32, \infty)$, $\lambda > 0$, and $X_0 = (t_0, x_0) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+}$. Assume that $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1})$ satisfies (4.16) in $Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)$ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{\kappa r}(X_0)$. Then we have*

$$(|D_d^m u - (D_d^m u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} \leq N\kappa^{-1}(|D_d^m u|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.17)$$

where $N = N(d, n, m, \delta, K)$.

Proof. By scaling, it suffices to prove the inequality for $r = 8/\kappa$. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1: the last coordinate of $x_0 \in [0, 1)$. In this case, by denoting $Y_0 = (t_0, x'_0, 0)$, we have

$$Q_r(X_0) \subset Q_2(Y_0) \subset Q_4(Y_0) \subset Q_{\kappa r}(X_0).$$

After applying Lemma 4.5 to u with a translation of the coordinates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (|D_d^m u - (D_d^m u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} &\leq Nr[D_d^m u]_{\frac{1}{2m}, 1, Q_2^+(Y_0)} \\ &\leq N\kappa^{-1}(|D_d^m u|^2)_{Q_4^+(Y_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq N\kappa^{-1}(|D_d^m u|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: the last coordinate of $x_0 \geq 1$. This case is indeed an interior case. From Lemmas 2 and 3 in [9], we can show

$$[v]_{\frac{1}{2m}, 1, Q_1} \leq N\|v\|_{L_2(Q_4)},$$

where v smooth is a solution of

$$v_t + (-1)^m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 v + \lambda v = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_4.$$

Taking $v = D_d^m u$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (|D_d^m u - (D_d^m u)_{Q_r(X_0)}|)_{Q_r(X_0)} &\leq Nr[D_d^m u]_{\frac{1}{2m}, 1, Q_{1/4}(X_0)} \\ &\leq Nr\|D_d^m u\|_{L_2(Q_1(X_0))} \leq N\kappa^{-1}(|D_d^m u|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we prove the lemma. $\square$

Now we are ready to establish a mean oscillation estimate of $D_d^m u$ for systems with special coefficients in the half space.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $r \in (0, \infty)$, $\kappa \in [64, \infty)$, $\lambda > 0$, $X_0 = (t_0, x_0) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}}$, and $f_\alpha \in L_{2,loc}(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1})$, where $|\alpha| \leq m$. Assume that $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}})$ satisfies*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 u + \lambda u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha$$

in $Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)$ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{\kappa r}(X_0)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (|D_d^m u - (D_d^m u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} \\ & \leq N\kappa^{-1}(|D_d^m u|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + N\kappa^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}} (|f_\alpha|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where $N = N(d, m, n, \delta, K)$.

Proof. Choose two smooth functions ζ and ζ_1 defined on $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= 1 \quad \text{on} \quad Q_{\kappa r/2}(X_0), \\ \zeta &= 0 \quad \text{outside} \quad (t_0 - (\kappa r)^{2m}, t_0 + (\kappa r)^{2m}) \times B_{\kappa r}(x_0), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1 &= 1 \quad \text{on} \quad Q_{\kappa r}(X_0), \\ \zeta_1 &= 0 \quad \text{outside} \quad (t_0 - (2\kappa r)^{2m}, t_0 + (2\kappa r)^{2m}) \times B_{2\kappa r}(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since we only concern the values of u and f_α in $Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)$, let us consider $\tilde{u} = \zeta_1 u$. By a simple calculation, we can show that $\tilde{u}$ satisfies the following equation in $\mathcal{O}_\infty^+$

$$\tilde{u}_t + (-1)^m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 \tilde{u} + \lambda \tilde{u} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha F_\alpha$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$, where F_α is the linear combination of terms like

$$u\zeta_{1t}, \quad D^k \zeta_1 f_\alpha, \quad A^{\alpha\beta} D^m u D^k \zeta_1, \quad A^{\alpha\beta} D^{m-k} u D^k \zeta_1, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Since $f_\alpha \in L_{2,loc}(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)$, u is smooth, and ζ has compact support, each term above is in L_2 , which implies that $F_\alpha \in L_2(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)$. Because $f_\alpha = F_\alpha$ in $Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)$, without loss of generality we can assume $f_\alpha \in L_2(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)$.

By Lemma 4.1, for any $\lambda > 0$, there exists a unique solution $w \in \mathcal{H}_2^m(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)$ to the equation

$$w_t + (-1)^m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 w + \lambda w = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha (\zeta f_\alpha)$$

in $\mathcal{O}_\infty^+$ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$. By a mollification argument, we may assume that w is smooth. In fact, let $f_{\alpha\epsilon}$ be smooth functions which converge to f_α in L_2 and $A_\epsilon^{\alpha\hat{\alpha}}$ be the mollification of $A^{\alpha\hat{\alpha}}$ with respect to the t variable, which converges to $A^{\alpha\hat{\alpha}}$ almost everywhere. We denote the operator to be $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{0\epsilon}$ and consider the following equation

$$(u_\epsilon)_t + (-1)^m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{0\epsilon} u_\epsilon + \lambda u_\epsilon = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_{\alpha\epsilon} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{O}_\infty^+$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$. By Lemma 4.1, u_ϵ converges to u in $\mathcal{H}_2^m(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)$. If (4.18) holds for u_ϵ , we can pass to

the limit. Hence we may assume f_α and $A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}$ are smooth functions, which implies that w is smooth. Let $v := u - w$. Then the function v is smooth as well and satisfies

$$v_t + (-1)^m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 v + \lambda v = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_{\kappa r/2}^+(X_0)$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{\kappa r/2}(X_0)$. By applying Lemma 4.6 (note that $\kappa/2 \geq 32$) to v , we have

$$(|D_d^m v - (D_d^m v)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} \leq N \kappa^{-1} (|D_d^m v|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r/2}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.19)$$

By Lemma 4.1 with $T = t_0$, we get

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}} \|D^\alpha w\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O}_{t_0}^+)} \leq N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}} \|\zeta f_\alpha\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O}_{t_0}^+)}. \quad (4.20)$$

In particular,

$$(|D^m w|^2)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq N \kappa^{m+d/2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}} (|f_\alpha|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.21)$$

Let us prove (4.18) now. By the triangle inequality,

$$(|D_d^m u - (D_d^m u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} \leq 2(|D_d^m u - c|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}$$

for any constant c . By taking $c = (D_d^m v)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}$, we have

$$(|D_d^m u - (D_d^m u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} \leq 2(|D_d^m u - (D_d^m v)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}.$$

By using the triangle inequality and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, the right-hand side of the inequality above can be bounded by

$$N(|D_d^m v - (D_d^m v)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} + N(|D^m w|^2)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By using (4.19) and (4.21), the quantity above is less than

$$N \kappa^{-1} (|D_d^m v|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r/2}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + N \kappa^{m+d/2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}} (|f_\alpha|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.22)$$

Finally, from (4.20) we know that

$$(|D_d^m w|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r/2}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}} (|f_\alpha|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Combining the inequality above and the fact that $v = u - w$, we see that the first term of (4.22) is less than the right-hand side of (4.18). $\square$

Now we are ready to prove an L_p estimate of $D_d^m u$ for the system with special coefficients.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $p \in [2, \infty)$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $T \in (-\infty, \infty]$, and $f_\alpha \in L_p(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ for $|\alpha| \leq m$. Then for any $u \in \mathcal{H}_p^m(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ satisfying*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 u + \lambda u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O}_T^+$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$, we have

$$\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D_d^m u\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_T^+)} \leq N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_T^+)},$$

where $N = N(d, m, n, p, \delta, K)$.

Proof. Due to a density argument, it suffices to assume $u \in C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+})$. First we suppose that $p \in (2, \infty)$ and $T = \infty$. Under these assumptions, from Theorem 4.7, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & (D_d^m u)^\#(X_0) \\ & \leq \kappa^{-1} (M(D_d^m u)^2(X_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} + N \kappa^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}} (M(f_\alpha)^2(X_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\kappa \geq 64$ and $X_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+}$. This, together with the Fefferman–Stein theorem and the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function theorem, yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D_d^m u\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)} \leq N \|(D_d^m u)^\#\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)} \\ & \leq N \kappa^{-1} \|(M(D_d^m u)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)} + N \kappa^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}} \|(M(f_\alpha)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)} \\ & \leq N \kappa^{-1} \|D_d^m u\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)} + N \kappa^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we choose κ sufficiently large such that the first term on the right-hand side of the inequality above is absorbed in the left-hand side. Then we obtain the desired estimate. For $T \in (-\infty, \infty)$, a standard argument in [25] can be applied. The case $p = 2$ follows from Lemma 4.1. $\square$

5. MEAN OSCILLATION ESTIMATE FOR $D_{x'} D^{m-1} u$

In this section, we obtain a mean oscillation estimate for $D_{x'} D^{m-1} u$. The following lemma shows that $\|D_d^m u\|_{L_p}$ can be bounded by $\|D_{x'} D^{m-1} u\|_{L_p}$ and $\|f_\alpha\|_{L_p}$ for systems with simple coefficients.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $T \in (-\infty, \infty]$ and $p \in [2, \infty)$. Assume that $u \in \mathcal{H}_p^m(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ and*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 u + \lambda u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$, where $\lambda \geq 0$ and $f_\alpha \in L_p(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)$. Then there exists a constant N , depending only on

d, m, n, δ, K , and p , such that

$$\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D^m u\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_T^+)} \leq N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_T^+)} + N \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D_{x'} D^{m-1} u\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_T^+)}.$$

Epecially, if $\lambda = 0$ and $f_\alpha = 0$ when $|\alpha| < m$, then

$$\|D^m u\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_T^+)} \leq N \|D_{x'} D^{m-1} u\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_T^+)} + N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_T^+)}.$$

Proof. The case $\lambda = 0$ follows by letting $\lambda \searrow 0$ after the estimate with $\lambda > 0$ is proved.

We use a scaling argument. Let $v(t, x', x_d) = u(\mu^{-2m}t, x', \mu^{-1}x_d)$ with a sufficiently large constant μ to be chosen later. Then v satisfies in $\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{2m}T}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} v_t + (-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} \mu^{\alpha_d+\beta_d-2m} D^\alpha (\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta v) + \mu^{-2m} \lambda v \\ = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \mu^{\alpha_d-2m} D^\alpha \tilde{f}_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$, where

$$\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta}(t) = A^{\alpha\beta}(\mu^{-2m}t), \quad \tilde{f}_\alpha(t, x', x_d) = f_\alpha(\mu^{-2m}t, x', \mu^{-1}x_d).$$

We leave the term $(-1)^m D^{\hat{\alpha}}(\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}} D^{\hat{\alpha}} v)$ on the left-hand side and move all the other spatial derivatives to the right-hand side and add $(-1)^m \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^m v$ to both sides of the system,

$$v_t + (-1)^m (D^{\hat{\alpha}}(A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}} D^{\hat{\alpha}} v) + \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^m v) + \mu^{-2m} \lambda v = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha \hat{f}_\alpha + (-1)^m \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^m v,$$

where $\hat{f}_\alpha = \mu^{\alpha_d-2m} \tilde{f}_\alpha$ for $|\alpha| < m$,

$$\hat{f}_\alpha = \mu^{\alpha_d-2m} \tilde{f}_\alpha + \sum_{|\beta|=m} (-1)^{m+1} \mu^{\alpha_d+\beta_d-2m} \tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta}(t) D^\beta v$$

for $|\alpha| = m$ but $\alpha \neq \hat{\alpha}$, and

$$\hat{f}_{\hat{\alpha}} = \mu^{-m} \tilde{f}_{\hat{\alpha}} + \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq \hat{\alpha} \\ |\beta|=m}} (-1)^{m+1} \mu^{\beta_d-m} \tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\beta}(t) D^\beta v.$$

Then we implement Theorem 4.8 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu^{-m} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D^m v\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{2m}T}^+)} \\
& \leq N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (\mu^{-2m} \lambda)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}} \|\hat{f}_\alpha\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{2m}T}^+)} + N \mu^{-m} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D_{x'} D^{m-1} v\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{2m}T}^+)} \\
& \leq N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (\mu^{-2m} \lambda)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}} \mu^{\alpha_d - 2m} \|\tilde{f}_\alpha\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{2m}T}^+)} \\
& \quad + N \mu^{-m} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha \neq \hat{\alpha} \\ |\alpha| = m}} \sum_{|\beta| = m} \mu^{\alpha_d + \beta_d - 2m} \|D^\beta v\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{2m}T}^+)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq \hat{\alpha} \\ |\beta| = m}} \mu^{\beta_d - m} \|D^\beta v\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{2m}T}^+)} \right) + N \mu^{-m} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D_{x'} D^{m-1} v\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{2m}T}^+)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let μ be sufficiently large such that

$$N \sum_{\substack{\alpha \neq \hat{\alpha} \\ |\alpha| = m}} \sum_{|\beta| = m} \mu^{\alpha_d + \beta_d - 2m} + \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq \hat{\alpha} \\ |\beta| = m}} \mu^{\beta_d - m} \leq 1/2.$$

Then we fix this μ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^{-m} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D^m v\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{2m}T}^+)} & \leq N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (\mu^{-2m} \lambda)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}} \mu^{\alpha_d - 2m} \|\tilde{f}_\alpha\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{2m}T}^+)} \\
& \quad + N \mu^{-m} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D_{x'} D^{m-1} v\|_{L_p(\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{2m}T}^+)}.
\end{aligned}$$

After returning to u and f_α , we prove the lemma. $\square$

We localize Lemma 5.1 to get Lemma 5.2 following the proof of Lemma 1 in [9].

Lemma 5.2. *Let $0 < r < R < \infty$ and $p \in [2, \infty)$. Assume $u \in \mathcal{H}_p^m(Q_R^+)$ and*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 u = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_R^+$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_R$. Then there exists a constant $N = N(d, m, n, \delta, r, R, p, K)$ such that

$$\|D^m u\|_{L_p(Q_r^+)} \leq N (\|D_{x'} D^{m-1} u\|_{L_p(Q_r^+)} + \|u\|_{L_p(Q_r^+)}). \quad (5.23)$$

Next, we state a parabolic type Sobolev embedding theorem.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $r \in (0, \infty)$ and $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$. Assume that*

$$\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{d + 2m}.$$

Let $\zeta \in C_0^\infty$ be such $\zeta = 1$ in Q_r^+ . Then for any function u such that $u\zeta \in \mathcal{H}_q^m(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)$, we have $D^{m-1} u \in L_p(Q_r^+)$ and

$$\|D^{m-1} u\|_{L_p(Q_r^+)} \leq N \|u\zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}_q^m(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)},$$

where $N = N(d, r, p, q)$.

In the following lemma, we obtain a Hölder estimate of $D^{m-1}u$.

Lemma 5.4. *Assume that $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+})$ and satisfies*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 u = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_2^+$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_2$. Then for any $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ there exists a constant $N = N(d, m, n, \delta, \gamma, K)$ such that

$$\|D^{m-1}u\|_{\frac{\gamma}{2m}, \gamma, Q_1^+} \leq N \|u\|_{L_2(Q_2^+)}.$$

Proof. Due to Lemma 3.2 and the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_p^m}$, we have

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^m(Q_{r_1}^+)} \leq N(d, r_1) \|u\|_{L_2(Q_2^+)},$$

where $1 < r_1 < 2$. From Lemma 5.3, we know that there is a p_1 satisfying $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_1} \leq \frac{1}{d+2m}$ such that

$$\|D^{m-1}u\|_{L_{p_1}(Q_{r_1}^+)} \leq N(d, p_1) \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^m(Q_{r_1}^+)} \leq N(d, r_1, p_1) \|u\|_{L_2(Q_2^+)},$$

where $1 < r_1' < r_1$. Since $D_{x'}u$ satisfies the same system and boundary condition as u , with slight modification of the argument above and Lemma 3.2 we can show that

$$\|D_{x'} D^{m-1}u\|_{L_{p_1}(Q_{r_1'}^+)} \leq N(d, r_1, p_1) \|u\|_{L_2(Q_2^+)}.$$

From (5.23), choosing $1 < r_2 < r_1'$ so that

$$\|D^m u\|_{L_{p_1}(Q_{r_2}^+)} \leq N(\|D_{x'} D^{m-1}u\|_{L_{p_1}(Q_{r_1'}^+)} + \|u\|_{L_{p_1}(Q_{r_1'}^+)}) \leq N \|u\|_{L_2(Q_2^+)},$$

which implies

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_{p_1}^m(Q_{r_2}^+)} \leq N \|u\|_{L_2(Q_2^+)}.$$

By induction, we can choose an increasing sequence $p_1, p_2, \dots$, such that

$$\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_{i+1}} \leq \frac{1}{d+2m},$$

and a sequence of decreasing domains $Q_{r_1}^+ \supset Q_{r_2}^+ \supset \dots$, such that

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_{p_i}^m(Q_{r_{2i}}^+)} \leq N \|u\|_{L_2(Q_2^+)}.$$

It is obvious that for any $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, in finite steps we can always take $p_n = (d+2m)/(1-\gamma)$ and $Q_{r_{2n}}^+ \supset Q_1^+$. Finally applying the Sobolev embedding theorem again, we prove the lemma. $\square$

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\lambda \geq 0$, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, and $X_0 = (t_0, x_0', 0)$, where $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_0' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Assume that $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)$ satisfies*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 u + \lambda u = 0$$

in Q_4^+ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_4$. Then there exists a constant $N = N(d, m, n, \delta, \gamma, K)$ such that

$$[D_{x'} D^{m-1} u]_{\frac{\gamma}{2m}, \gamma, Q_1^+(X_0)} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} [u]_{\frac{\gamma}{2m}, \gamma, Q_1^+(X_0)} \leq N \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{2m}} \|D^k u\|_{L_2(Q_4^+(X_0))}. \quad (5.24)$$

Proof. By using a translation in t and x' , we may assume that $X_0 = (0, 0)$. First let $\lambda = 0$. The inequality in the corollary becomes

$$[D_{x'} D^{m-1} u]_{\frac{\gamma}{2m}, \gamma, Q_1^+} \leq N \|D^m u\|_{L_2(Q_4^+)}.$$

We differentiate the system with respect to x' and apply Lemma 5.4 to $D_{x'} u$ to obtain

$$[D_{x'} D^{m-1} u]_{\frac{\gamma}{2m}, \gamma, Q_1^+} \leq N \|D_{x'} u\|_{L_2(Q_4^+)}.$$

Then we apply the same method as in the proof of Lemma 3.4 by considering $u - P$.

In order to handle the case $\lambda > 0$, we implement an argument originally due to S. Agmon. Specifically, let

$$\zeta(y) = \cos(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2m}} y) + \sin(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2m}} y).$$

Note that

$$(-1)^m D_y^{2m} \zeta(y) = \lambda \zeta(y), \quad \zeta(0) = 1, \quad |D^m \zeta(0)| = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Denote $(t, z) = (t, y, x)$ to be a point in $\mathbb{R}^{d+2}$ and set

$$\hat{u}(t, z) = u(t, x) \zeta(y), \quad \hat{Q}_r^+ = (-r^{2m}, 0) \times \{z : |z| < r, z_{d+1} > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}\}.$$

Obviously, $\hat{u}$ satisfies

$$\hat{u}_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 \hat{u} + (-1)^m D_y^{2m} \hat{u} = 0$$

in $\hat{Q}_4^+$ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{z_{d+1} = 0\} \cap \hat{Q}_4$. Note that although our new operator above is not strongly elliptic, it satisfies (4.14). By Remark 4.2, Theorem 3.1 and thus Lemmas 5.1-5.4 still hold. Upon applying the lemma with $\lambda = 0$ to $\hat{u}$, we find

$$[D_{z'} D^{m-1} \hat{u}]_{\frac{\gamma}{2m}, \gamma, \hat{Q}_1^+} \leq N \|D^m \hat{u}\|_{L_2(\hat{Q}_4^+)}, \quad (5.25)$$

where $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$. Since, for example

$$\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} [u]_{\frac{\gamma}{2m}, \gamma, Q_1^+} \leq [D_y^m \hat{u}]_{\frac{\gamma}{2m}, \gamma, \hat{Q}_1^+},$$

we only need to bound the right-hand side of (5.25) by the right-hand side of (5.24). This can be done easily, since $D^m \hat{u}$ is a linear combination of terms like

$$\lambda^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{2m}} \cos(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2m}} y) D_x^k u(t, x), \quad \lambda^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{2m}} \sin(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2m}} y) D_x^k u(t, x), \quad k = 0, \dots, m.$$

The corollary is proved. $\square$

In the following lemma we obtain a mean oscillation estimate of $D_{x'}D^{m-1}u$ for homogeneous systems.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $r \in (0, \infty)$, $\kappa \in [64, \infty)$, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, and $X_0 = (t_0, x_0) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+}$. Assume that $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+})$ satisfies*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 u + \lambda u = 0$$

in $Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)$ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{\kappa r}(X_0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (|D_{x'}D^{m-1}u - (D_{x'}D^{m-1}u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(|u - (u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} \\ & \leq N\kappa^{-\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{2m}} (|D^k u|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $N = N(d, n, m, \delta, \gamma, K)$.

Proof. Using Corollary 5.5, the proof is exactly the same as Lemma 4.6 and thus is omitted. $\square$

In the following proposition, we obtain a mean oscillation estimate of $D_{x'}D^{m-1}u$ for systems with simple coefficients.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $r \in (0, \infty)$, $\kappa \in [128, \infty)$, $\lambda > 0$, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, and $X_0 = (t_0, x_0) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+}$. Assume that $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+})$ satisfies*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 u + \lambda u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha$$

in $Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)$ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$, where $f_\alpha \in L_{2,loc}(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)$, $|\alpha| \leq m$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (|D_{x'}D^{m-1}u - (D_{x'}D^{m-1}u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(|u - (u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} \\ & \leq N\kappa^{-\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{2m}} (|D^k u|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + N\kappa^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m} - \frac{1}{2}} (|f_\alpha|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $N = N(d, m, n, \delta, \gamma, K)$.

Proof. Let ζ be the function defined at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 4.7. By Theorem 3.1, there exists a unique solution $w \in \mathcal{H}_2^m(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)$ of

$$w_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 w + \lambda w = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha (\zeta f_\alpha)$$

in $\mathcal{O}_\infty^+$ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$. Moreover,

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{1 - \frac{|\alpha|}{2m}} \|D^\alpha w\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O}_{t_0}^+)} \leq N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}} \|\zeta f_\alpha\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O}_{t_0}^+)},$$

from which, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (|D^m w|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Q_r^+(X_0)} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(|w|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Q_r^+(X_0)} &\leq N\kappa^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}}(|f_\alpha|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2m}}(|D^k w|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)} &\leq N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}}(|f_\alpha|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

By a mollification argument as in Lemma 4.7, we can assume w is smooth. Let $v = u - w$, which is smooth as well and satisfies

$$v_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 v + \lambda v = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha ((1 - \zeta) f_\alpha)$$

in $\mathcal{O}_\infty^+$ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$. Hence in $Q_{\kappa r/2}^+(X_0)$

$$v_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 v + \lambda v = 0.$$

Applying Lemma 5.6 to v ,

$$\begin{aligned} (|D_{x'} D^{m-1} v - (D_{x'} D^{m-1} v)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(|v - (v)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} \\ \leq N\kappa^{-\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2m}}(|D^k v|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Q_{\kappa r/2}^+(X_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

With all the preparations above and following the proof of Theorem 4.7, by the triangle inequality and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} (|D_{x'} D^{m-1} u - (D_{x'} D^{m-1} u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(|u - (u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} \\ \leq N \left((|D_{x'} D^{m-1} v - (D_{x'} D^{m-1} v)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} \right. \\ \left. + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(|v - (v)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} + (|D^m w|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Q_r^+(X_0)} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(|w|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Q_r^+(X_0)} \right) \\ \leq N\kappa^{-\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2m}}(|D^k v|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)} + N\kappa^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}}(|f_\alpha|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)} \\ \leq N\kappa^{-\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2m}}(|D^k u|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)} + N\kappa^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}}(|f_\alpha|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we prove the proposition. $\square$

Next, we consider the case that $A^{\alpha\beta}$ are functions of both x and t and use the argument of freezing the coefficients to obtain:

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the operator in Theorem 2.2. Suppose the lower-order coefficients of $\mathcal{L}$ are all zero. Let $\xi, \nu \in (1, \infty)$ satisfying $1/\xi + 1/\nu = 1$,*

$\gamma \in (0, 1)$, and $\lambda, R \in (0, \infty)$. Assume $u \in C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+})$ vanishing outside $Q_R^+(X_1)$, where $X_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+}$, and

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}u + \lambda u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$, where $f_\alpha \in L_{2,loc}(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)$. Then there exists a constant $N = N(d, m, n, \delta, \xi, K, \gamma)$ such that for any $r \in (0, \infty)$, $\kappa \geq 128$, and $X_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (|D_{x'} D^{m-1} u - (D_{x'} D^{m-1} u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (|u - (u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} \\ & \leq N \kappa^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m} - \frac{1}{2}} (|f_\alpha|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + (A_R^\#)^{\frac{1}{2\nu}} (|D^m u|^{2\xi})_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2\xi}} \right) \\ & \quad + N \kappa^{-\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{2m}} (|D^k u|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Fix a $y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^d}$ and set

$$\mathcal{L}_y u = \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} D^\alpha (A^{\alpha\beta}(t, y) D^\beta u(t, x)).$$

Then we have

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_y u + \lambda u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha \tilde{f}_\alpha,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_\alpha &= f_\alpha + (-1)^m \sum_{|\beta|=m} (A^{\alpha\beta}(t, y) - A^{\alpha\beta}(t, x)) D^\beta u \quad \text{when } |\alpha| = m, \\ \tilde{f}_\alpha &= f_\alpha \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 5.7 that

$$\begin{aligned} & (|D_{x'} D^{m-1} u - (D_{x'} D^{m-1} u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (|u - (u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} \\ & \leq N \kappa^{-\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{2m}} (|D^k u|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + N \kappa^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m} - \frac{1}{2}} (|\tilde{f}_\alpha|^2)_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

Note that

$$\int_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)} |\tilde{f}_\alpha|^2 dx dt \leq N \int_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)} |f_\alpha|^2 dx dt + N I_y, \tag{5.27}$$

where, for $|\alpha| = m$,

$$I_y = \int_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0)} |(A^{\alpha\beta}(t, y) - A^{\alpha\beta}(t, x)) D^\beta u|^2 dx dt.$$

Denote B^+ to be $B_{\kappa r}^+(x_0)$ if $\kappa r < R$, or to be $B_R^+(x_1)$ otherwise; denote Q^+ in the same fashion. Now we take the average of I_y with respect to y in B^+ . Since u vanishes outside $Q_R^+(X_1)$, by Hölder's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B^+} I_y dy &= \int_{B^+} \int_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0) \cap Q_R^+(X_1)} |(A^{\alpha\beta}(t, y) - A^{\alpha\beta}(t, x)) D^\beta u|^2 dx dt dy \\ &\leq \int_{B^+} \left(\int_{Q^+} |A^{\alpha\beta}(t, y) - A^{\alpha\beta}(t, x)|^{2\nu} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} dy \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_{Q_{\kappa r}^+(X_0) \cap Q_R^+(X_1)} |D^m u|^{2\xi} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}}, \end{aligned}$$

where, by the boundedness of $A^{\alpha\beta}$, Hölder's inequality as well as the definition of osc_x and $A_R^\#$, the integral over B^+ in the last term above is bounded by a constant times

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B^+} \left(\int_{Q^+} |A^{\alpha\beta}(t, y) - A^{\alpha\beta}(t, x)|^{2\nu} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} dy \\ &\leq N \left(\int_{B^+} \int_{Q^+} |A^{\alpha\beta}(t, y) - A^{\alpha\beta}(t, x)| dx dt dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \\ &\leq N(|Q^+| \text{osc}_x(A^{\alpha\beta}, Q^+))^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \leq N((\kappa r)^{2m+d} A_R^\#)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (5.26) and (5.27) completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

Proof of Theorem 2.2. First note that the interior estimates are obtained in Theorem 1 of [9]. With the standard arguments of partition of the unity and flattening the boundary, it suffices to consider $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^d$.

We may assume that all the lower-order coefficients are zero. Indeed, if we got the a priori estimate without lower-order terms, for general systems, we can move lower-order terms to the right-hand side and apply the interpolation inequality. Taking λ large enough, we then obtain the estimate for general systems.

Case 1: $p \in (2, \infty)$. First we suppose $T = \infty$ and $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+})$ and vanishes on $\mathcal{O}_\infty^+ \setminus \tilde{Q}_{R_0}^+(X_1)$ for some $X_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+}$, where $\tilde{Q}_{R_0}^+(X_1) = \{(\mu^{-2m}t, x', \mu^{-1}x_d) : (t, x) \in Q_{R_0}^+(X_1)\}$ and $\mu \geq 1$ is a parameter which will be determined later. Then it follows $\tilde{Q}_{R_0}^+(X_1) \subset Q_{R_0}^+(\tilde{X}_1)$, where $\tilde{X}_1 = (\mu^{-2m}t_1, x'_1, \mu^{-1}x_{1d})$. Choose $\xi > 1$ such that $2\xi < p$ and fix $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Under these assumptions, from Lemma 5.8 we easily deduce

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{x'} D^{m-1} u)^\#(X_0) + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u^\#(X_0) &\leq N \kappa^{-\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{2m}} (M(D^k u)^2(X_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + N \kappa^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m} - \frac{1}{2}} (M(f_\alpha)^2(X_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} + \rho^{\frac{1}{2\nu}} (M(D^m u)^{2\xi}(X_0))^{\frac{1}{2\xi}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\kappa \geq 128$ and $X_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+}$. This, together with the Fefferman–Stein theorem and the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function theorem, yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|D_{x'} D^{m-1} u\|_{L_p} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_p} \leq N(\|(D_{x'} D^{m-1} u)^\# \|_{L_p} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u^\# \|_{L_p}) \\
& \leq N\kappa^{-\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{2m}} \|(M(D^k u)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_p} \\
& \quad + N\kappa^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m} - \frac{1}{2}} \|(M(f_\alpha)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_p} + \rho^{\frac{1}{2\nu}} \|(M(D^m u)^{2\xi})^{\frac{1}{2\xi}}\|_{L_p} \right) \\
& \leq N\kappa^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m} - \frac{1}{2}} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_p} + N(\kappa^{-\gamma} + \kappa^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \rho^{\frac{1}{2\nu}}) \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{2m}} \|D^k u\|_{L_p}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

for any $\kappa \geq 128$, where $L_p = L_p(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)$.

Now we use the arguments of freezing the coefficients and scaling to get the estimate of $D_d^m u$. As in Lemma 5.1, let

$$\begin{aligned}
v(t, x', x_d) &= u(\mu^{-2m} t, x', \mu^{-1} x_d), \\
\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta}(t, x', x_d) &= A^{\alpha\beta}(\mu^{-2m} t, x', \mu^{-1} x_d), \\
\tilde{f}_\alpha(t, x', x_d) &= f_\alpha(\mu^{-2m} t, x', \mu^{-1} x_d).
\end{aligned}$$

Then v satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& v_t + (-1)^m D_d^m (\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}} D_d^m v) + (-1)^m \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m \\ (\alpha, \beta) \neq (\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\alpha})}} \mu^{\alpha_d + \beta_d - 2m} D^\alpha (\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta v) \\
& + \lambda \mu^{-2m} v = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \mu^{\alpha_d - 2m} D^\alpha \tilde{f}_\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

in $\mathcal{O}_\infty^+$ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$. We fix $(t, y) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+}$ and move all spacial derivatives to the right-hand side of the equation, then add $(-1)^m (\mathcal{D}_{d-1}^m v + D_d^m (\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(t, y) D_d^m v))$ to both sides of the equation so that

$$\begin{aligned}
& v_t + (-1)^m (D_d^m (\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(t, y) D_d^m v) + \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^m v) + \lambda \mu^{-2m} v \\
& = D^\alpha \hat{f}_\alpha + (-1)^m D_d^m ((\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(t, y) - \tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(t, x)) D_d^m v) + (-1)^m \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^m v,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{f}_\alpha = \mu^{\alpha_d - 2m} \tilde{f}_\alpha$ for $|\alpha| < m$,

$$\hat{f}_\alpha = \mu^{\alpha_d - 2m} \tilde{f}_\alpha + \sum_{|\beta|=m} (-1)^{m+1} \mu^{\alpha_d + \beta_d - 2m} \tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta}(t, x) D^\beta v$$

for $|\alpha| = m$ but $\alpha \neq \hat{\alpha}$, and

$$\hat{f}_{\hat{\alpha}} = \mu^{-m} \tilde{f}_{\hat{\alpha}} + \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq \hat{\alpha} \\ |\beta|=m}} (-1)^{m+1} \mu^{\beta_d - m} \tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\beta}(t, x) D^\beta v.$$

We follow the proof of Lemma 5.8. From (4.18), we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (|D_d^m v - (D_d^m v)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} \\
& \leq N\kappa_1^{-1}(|D_d^m v|^2)_{Q_{\kappa_1 r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}} (|\hat{f}_\alpha|^2)_{Q_{\kappa_1 r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (|\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(t, y) - \tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(t, x)) D_d^m v|^2)_{Q_{\kappa_1 r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + (|D_{x'}^m v|^2)_{Q_{\kappa_1 r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

for any $X_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+}$ and $\kappa_1 \geq 64$. It is easy to check that $\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies the Assumption 2.1($2\mu^{2m+1}\rho$) with the same R_0 as $A^{\alpha\beta}$ and the support of v is contained in $Q_{R_0}^+(X_1)$. Therefore, applying the same argument as in Lemma 5.8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (|D_d^m v - (D_d^m v)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|)_{Q_r^+(X_0)} \\
& \leq N\kappa_1^{-1}(|D_d^m v|^2)_{Q_{\kappa_1 r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}} (|\hat{f}_\alpha|^2)_{Q_{\kappa_1 r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}} (2\mu^{2m+1}\rho)^{\frac{1}{2\nu}} (|D_d^m v|^{2\xi})_{Q_{\kappa_1 r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2\xi}} + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}} (|D_{x'}^m v|^2)_{Q_{\kappa_1 r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $1/\xi + 1/\nu = 1$. By the definition of $\hat{f}_\alpha$, the right-hand side of the inequality above can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& N\kappa_1^{-1}(|D_d^m v|^2)_{Q_{\kappa_1 r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}} (2\mu^{2m+1}\rho)^{\frac{1}{2\nu}} (|D_d^m v|^{2\xi})_{Q_{\kappa_1 r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2\xi}} \\
& + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}} \mu^{\alpha_d-2m} (|\tilde{f}_\alpha|^2)_{Q_{\kappa_1 r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \mu^{-1} (|D_d^m v|^2)_{Q_{\kappa_1 r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}} (|D_{x'} D^{m-1} v|^2)_{Q_{\kappa_1 r}^+(X_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

provided that $\mu \geq 1$. Therefore, we obtain that, for any $X_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (D_d^m v)^\#(X_0) \\
& \leq N\kappa_1^{-1} (M(D_d^m v)^2(X_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}} (2\mu^{2m+1}\rho)^{\frac{1}{2\nu}} (M(D_d^m v)^{2\xi}(X_0))^{\frac{1}{2\xi}} \\
& \quad + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}} \mu^{\alpha_d-2m} (M(\tilde{f}_\alpha)^2(X_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}} \mu^{-1} (M(D_d^m v)^2(X_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}} (M(D_{x'} D^{m-1} v)^2(X_0))^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We denote $L_p = L_p(\mathcal{O}_\infty^+)$. By the Fefferman–Stein theorem, the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function theorem, and choosing $\xi > 1, 2\xi < p$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_d^m v\|_{L_p} &\leq N\|(D_d^m v)^\#\|_{L_p} \\
&\leq N\kappa_1^{-1}\|(M(D_d^m v)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_p} + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}}(2\mu^{2m+1}\rho)^{\frac{1}{2\nu}}\|(M(D_d^m v)^{2\xi})^{\frac{1}{2\xi}}\|_{L_p} \\
&\quad + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}}\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}}\mu^{\alpha_d-2m}\|(M(\tilde{f}_\alpha)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_p} \\
&\quad + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}}\mu^{-1}\|(M(D_d^m v)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_p} + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}}\|(M(D_{x'}D^{m-1}v)^2(X_0))^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_p} \\
&\leq N\kappa_1^{-1}\|D_d^m v\|_{L_p} + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}}(2\mu^{2m+1}\rho)^{\frac{1}{2\nu}}\|D_d^m v\|_{L_p} \\
&\quad + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}}\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}}\mu^{\alpha_d-2m}\|\tilde{f}_\alpha\|_{L_p} + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}}\mu^{-1}\|D_d^m v\|_{L_p} \\
&\quad + N\kappa_1^{m+\frac{d}{2}}\|D_{x'}D^{m-1}v\|_{L_p}.
\end{aligned}$$

We first let κ_1 be sufficiently large and then μ be sufficiently large. Finally let ρ be sufficiently small and we obtain

$$\|D_d^m v\|_{L_p} \leq N\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}}\|\tilde{f}_\alpha\|_{L_p} + N\|D_{x'}D^{m-1}v\|_{L_p}.$$

After changing back to u and f_α , we get

$$\|D_d^m u\|_{L_p} \leq N\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}}\|f_\alpha\|_{L_p} + N\|D_{x'}D^{m-1}u\|_{L_p}.$$

Combining the inequality above with (6.28), we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2m}}\|D^k u\|_{L_p} &\leq N\|D^m u\|_{L_p} + N\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{L_p} \\
&\leq N\|D_{x'}D^{m-1}u\|_{L_p} + N\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{L_p} + N\|D_d^m u\|_{L_p} \\
&\leq N\kappa^{m+\frac{d}{2}}\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2m}-\frac{1}{2}}\|f_\alpha\|_{L_p} + N(\kappa^{-\gamma} + \kappa^{m+\frac{d}{2}}\rho^{\frac{1}{2\nu}})\sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2m}}\|D^k u\|_{L_p}.
\end{aligned}$$

We take κ sufficiently large and ρ sufficiently small so that the terms involving u on the right-hand side are absorbed in the left-hand side. In this way, we prove the desired estimate.

Due to the argument of partition of the unity, we can remove the assumption that u vanishes on $\mathcal{O}_\infty^+ \setminus \tilde{Q}_{R_0}^+(X_1)$ for some $X_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+}$ by choosing λ_0 large enough. The extension to $T \in (-\infty, \infty]$ is by now standard, see [25].

Case 2: $p \in (1, 2)$. Since the system is in the divergence form, this case follows from the previous case by using the duality argument.

Case 3: $p = 2$. This case is classical. $\square$

7. SCHAUDER ESTIMATES FOR SYSTEMS ON THE HALF SPACE

In this section, we prove the Schauder estimates for (1.4) on the half space. The following lemmas are useful in our proof. The first one is well known and the proof can be found in [14].

Lemma 7.1. *Let Φ be a nonnegative, nondecreasing function on $(0, r_0]$ such that*

$$\Phi(\rho) \leq A\left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)^a \Phi(r) + Br^b$$

for $0 < \rho < r \leq r_0$, where $0 < b < a$ are fixed constants. Then

$$\Phi(r) \leq Nr^b(r_0^{-b}\Phi(r_0) + B) \quad \forall r \in (0, r_0)$$

with a constant $N = N(A, a, b)$.

The following version of Campanato's theorem can be found in [14] and [32].

Lemma 7.2. (i) *Let $f \in L_2(Q_2)$ and $a \in (0, 1]$. Assume that*

$$\int_{Q_r(t_0, x_0)} |f - (f)_{Q_r(t_0, x_0)}|^2 dx dt \leq A^2 r^{2a}, \quad \forall (t_0, x_0) \in Q_1, \quad (7.29)$$

and any $0 < r \leq 1$. Then we have $f \in C^{\frac{a}{2m}, a}(Q_1)$ and

$$[f]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_1} \leq NA,$$

with $N = N(d)$.

(ii) *Let $f \in L_2(Q_2^+)$ and $a \in (0, 1]$. Assume that (7.29) holds for $r < x_{0d}$. Moreover*

$$\int_{Q_r^+(t_1, x_1)} |f - (f)_{Q_r^+(t_1, x_1)}|^2 dx dt \leq A^2 r^{2a}, \quad \forall (t_1, x_1) \in \{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_1,$$

and any $0 < r \leq 1$. Then we have $f \in C^{\frac{a}{2m}, a}(Q_1^+)$ and

$$[f]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_1^+} \leq NA,$$

with $N = N(d)$.

7.1. The estimate of $D_{x'} D_d^{m-1} u$.

7.1.1. *Systems with coefficients depending only on t .* In this part, we consider

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad Q_{2R}^+ \quad (7.30)$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{2R}$. First, we have the following mean oscillation estimate.

Lemma 7.3. *Assume that $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{O_\infty^+})$ and satisfies (7.30) with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$. Then for any $0 < r < R < \infty$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, there exists a constant $N = N(d, m, n, \delta, K, \gamma)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_r^+(X_0)} |D^{m-1}u - (D^{m-1}u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq N \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{2\gamma+2m+d} \int_{Q_R^+(X_0)} |D^{m-1}u - (D^{m-1}u)_{Q_R^+(X_0)}|^2 dx dt \end{aligned} \quad (7.31)$$

where $X_0 \in \{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_R$.

Proof. By scaling and translation of the coordinates, without loss of generality, we can assume $R = 1$ and $X_0 = (0, 0)$.

From the bootstrap argument in Lemma 5.4 and the Sobolev embedding theorem, for any $p > 0$, there exists a $N = N(d, p)$ so that

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_p^m(Q_1^+)} \leq N \|u\|_{L_2(Q_2^+)}.$$

By the parabolic Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$[D^{m-1}u]_{\frac{\gamma}{2m}, \gamma, Q_1^+} \leq N \|u\|_{L_2(Q_2^+)},$$

where $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Therefore,

$$\int_{Q_r^+} |D^{m-1}u - (D^{m-1}u)_{Q_r^+}|^2 dx dt \leq N r^{2\gamma+2m+d} \|u\|_{L_2(Q_1^+)}^2$$

provided $r \leq 1/2$. Let v be as in Lemma 3.4 and notice that v satisfies the same system and boundary condition as u . So the inequality holds for v as well. Due to Lemma 3.4,

$$\int_{Q_r^+} |D^{m-1}v - (D^{m-1}v)_{Q_r^+}|^2 dx dt \leq N r^{2\gamma+2m+d} \|D^{m-1}v\|_{L_2(Q_1^+)}^2.$$

Clearly the inequality above also holds true for $r > 1/2$. Hence for any $r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_r^+} |D^{m-1}u - (D^{m-1}u)_{Q_r^+}|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq N r^{2\gamma+2m+d} \int_{Q_1^+} |D^{m-1}u - (D^{m-1}u)_{Q_1^+}|^2 dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

because

$$D^{m-1}u - D^{m-1}v = D^{m-1}p = (D^{m-1}u)_{Q_1^+}.$$

The lemma is proved. $\square$

Lemma 7.4. *Assume that $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{O_\infty^+})$ satisfies*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \quad \text{in } Q_{4R}^+ \quad (7.32)$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{4R}$ and $f_\alpha \in C^{a*}$ if $|\alpha| = m$ for some $a \in (0, 1)$, $f_\alpha \in L_\infty$ if $|\alpha| < m$. Then for any

$0 < r < R \leq 1$, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, and $X_0 \in \{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_R$, there exists a constant $N = N(n, m, d, \delta, \gamma, K)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_r^+(X_0)} |D_{x'} D^{m-1} u - (D_{x'} D^{m-1} u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq N \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{2\gamma+2m+d} \int_{Q_R^+(X_0)} |D_{x'} D^{m-1} u - (D_{x'} D^{m-1} u)_{Q_R^+(X_0)}|^2 dx dt \\ & \quad + N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} [f_\alpha]_{a, Q_{4R}^+}^{*2} R^{2a+2m+d} + N \sum_{|\alpha|<m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(Q_{4R}^+)}^2 R^{2+2m+d}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ and

$$\zeta = 1 \quad \text{in} \quad Q_{2R}, \quad \zeta = 0 \quad \text{outside} \quad (-(4R)^{2m}, (4R)^{2m}) \times B_{4R}.$$

For $T = -(4R)^{2m}$, consider the following system,

$$w_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 w = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha (\zeta \tilde{f}_\alpha)$$

in $(-T, 0) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d$ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$ and the zero initial condition on $\{-T\} \times \mathbb{R}_+^d$, where

$$\tilde{f}_\alpha(t, x) = f_\alpha(t, x) - f_\alpha(t, 0)$$

if $|\alpha| = m$, and $\tilde{f}_\alpha = f_\alpha$ otherwise. From Theorem 3.1, the above system has a unique solution $w \in \mathcal{H}_2^m((-T, 0) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d)$. Indeed, we can consider the system which $\tilde{w} = e^{-\lambda t} w$ satisfies, where $\lambda > 0$. It is easy to see that from Theorem 3.1 we can solve for $\tilde{w}$, and then obtain w . By the mollification argument as in Lemma 4.7, we may assume that w is smooth. Let $v = u - w$, which satisfies

$$v_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_0 v = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} D^\alpha f_\alpha(t, 0) \quad \text{in} \quad Q_{2R}^+$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$. We differentiate above system with respect to x' and let $\hat{v} = D_{x'} v$. Then $\hat{v}$ satisfies all the conditions in Lemma 7.3 so that (7.31) holds for $\hat{v}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_r^+(X_0)} |D_{x'} D^{m-1} v - (D_{x'} D^{m-1} v)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq N \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{2\gamma+2m+d} \int_{Q_R^+(X_0)} |D_{x'} D^{m-1} v - (D_{x'} D^{m-1} v)_{Q_R^+(X_0)}|^2 dx dt. \quad (7.33) \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Theorem 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta \int_{Q_{4R}^+} |D^m w|^2 dx dt \\
& \leq N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_{Q_{4R}^+} |(f_\alpha(t, x) - f_\alpha(t, 0)) D^\alpha w| dx dt + \sum_{|\alpha| < m} \int_{Q_{4R}^+} |f_\alpha D^\alpha w| dx dt \\
& \leq \varepsilon \|D^m w\|_{L_2(Q_{4R}^+)}^2 + N(\varepsilon) \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|f_\alpha(t, x) - f_\alpha(t, 0)\|_{L_2(Q_{4R}^+)}^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{|\alpha| < m} \int_{Q_{4R}^+} |f_\alpha D^\alpha w| dx dt. \tag{7.34}
\end{aligned}$$

We take ε sufficiently small so that the first term on the right-hand side is absorbed in the left-hand side. The second term is less than

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} N[f_\alpha]_{a, Q_{4R}^+}^{*2} R^{2a+d+2m}.$$

The last term can be estimated in the following way. We choose a vector-valued polynomial as in Lemma 3.4 with respect to w . Let $h = w - P(x)$. Because $P(x)$ is of degree $m-1$, h satisfies the same system and boundary condition as w . Moreover $D^m h = D^m w$. Therefore, (7.34) holds with h in place of w in the last term of the right-hand side. By Lemma 3.4 with $4R$ in place of R and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_{4R}^+} |f_\alpha D^\alpha h| dx dt \leq N \|f_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)} |Q_{4R}^+|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D^\alpha h\|_{L_2(Q_{4R}^+)} \\
& \leq N \|f_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)} |Q_{4R}^+|^{\frac{1}{2}} (R^{m-|\alpha|} \|D^m w\|_{L_2(Q_{4R}^+)}) \\
& \quad + \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} R^{3m+d/2-|\alpha|-|\beta|} \|\tilde{f}_\beta\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)}. \tag{7.35}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $R < 1$ and for $|\beta| = m$

$$\|\tilde{f}_\beta\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)} \leq N[f_\beta]_{a, Q_{4R}^+}^* R^a,$$

by Young's inequality and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one can bound the right-hand side of (7.35) by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \|D^m w\|_{L_2(Q_{4R}^+)}^2 \\
& + N(\varepsilon) \left(\sum_{|\alpha| < m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)}^2 R^{2+2m+d} + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} [f_\alpha]_{a, Q_{4R}^+}^{*2} R^{2a+2m+d} \right). \tag{7.36}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, choosing ε sufficiently small and combining (7.33)-(7.36), by the triangle inequality we immediately prove the lemma. $\square$

Thanks to Lemma 7.1, after taking $\gamma > a$, the following inequality holds for any $r \in (0, R)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_r^+(X_0)} |D_{x'} D^{m-1} u - (D_{x'} D^{m-1} u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq N \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{2a+2m+d} \int_{Q_R^+(X_0)} |D_{x'} D^{m-1} u - (D_{x'} D^{m-1} u)_{Q_R^+(X_0)}|^2 dx dt \\ & \quad + N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} [f_\alpha]_{a, Q_{4R}^+}^{*2} r^{2a+2m+d} + N \sum_{|\alpha|<m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(Q_{4R}^+)}^2 r^{2a+2m+d}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.2 together with the corresponding interior estimate, we obtain

$$[D_{x'} D^{m-1} u]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_R^+} \leq N (R^{-(2a+d+2m)} \int_{Q_{4R}^+} |D^m u|^2 dx dt + F^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.37)$$

where $F = \sum_{|\alpha|<m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(Q_{4R}^+)} + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} [f]_{a, Q_{4R}^+}^*$.

7.1.2. Variable coefficients depending on both x and t . In this part, we use the argument of freezing the coefficients to deal with the case when $A^{\alpha\beta}$ depend on both x and t . First, let us consider systems which only consists of highest order terms:

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_h u = u_t + (-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} D^\alpha (A^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta u) = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \quad (7.38)$$

in Q_{4R}^+ and follow the steps in the simple coefficient case. Let $X_0 \in Q_R^+$ and the equation above can be written as

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_{0x_0} u = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} D^\alpha \tilde{f}_\alpha,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{0x_0} = \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} D^\alpha (A^{\alpha\beta}(t, x_0) D^\beta),$$

and

$$\tilde{f}_\alpha = f_\alpha + (-1)^m \sum_{|\beta|=m} (A^{\alpha\beta}(t, x_0) - A^{\alpha\beta}(t, x)) D^\beta u$$

when $|\alpha| = m$, and $\tilde{f}_\alpha = f_\alpha$ otherwise. Following exactly the same argument as in Lemma 7.4 with $\tilde{f}_\alpha$ in place of f_α , we can prove the following lemma corresponding to Lemma 7.4.

Lemma 7.5. *Assume that $a \in (0, 1)$, $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+})$ satisfies (7.38) with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{4R}$, $f_\alpha \in C^{a*}$ if $|\alpha| = m$, $f_\alpha \in L^\infty$ if $|\alpha| < m$, and $A^{\alpha\beta} \in C^{a*}$. Then for any $0 < r < R \leq 1$, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and $X_0 \in \overline{Q_R^+}$, there exists a constant $N = N(d, n, m, \delta, K, \gamma, \|A^{\alpha\beta}\|_a^*)$*

such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_r^+(X_0)} |D_{x'} D^{m-1} u - (D_{x'} D^{m-1} u)_{Q_r^+(X_0)}|^2 dx dt \\
& \leq N \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{2\gamma+2m+d} \int_{Q_R^+(X_0)} |D_{x'} D^{m-1} u - (D_{x'} D^{m-1} u)_{Q_R^+(X_0)}|^2 dx dt \\
& \quad + N \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} [f_\alpha]_{a, Q_{4R}^+(X_0)}^{*2} + \|D^m u\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+(X_0))}^2 \right) R^{2a+2m+d} \\
& \quad + N \sum_{|\alpha|<m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+(X_0))}^2 R^{2+2m+d}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2, we derive the following corollary by taking $\gamma > a$.

Corollary 7.6. *Under the conditions in Lemma 7.5, we have*

$$[D_{x'} D^{m-1} u]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_R^+} \leq N(\|D^m u\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)} + F),$$

where $N = N(d, m, n, \delta, \|A^{\alpha\beta}\|_a^*, K, R, a)$ and F is defined in (7.37).

Proof of Theorem 2.3: Now we are ready to handle the general system

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha,$$

which can be written as

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_h u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha \tilde{f}_\alpha,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}_\alpha &= f_\alpha + (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{|\beta|<m} A^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta u \quad \text{if } |\alpha| = m, \\
\tilde{f}_\alpha &= f_\alpha + (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} A^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta u \quad \text{otherwise.}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{|\beta|<m} [A^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta u]_{a, Q_{4R}^+}^* \leq N \sum_{|\beta|<m} (\|A^{\alpha\beta}\|_{L_\infty} [D^\beta u]_{a, Q_{4R}^+}^* + [A^{\alpha\beta}]_a^* \|D^\beta u\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)}).$$

We substitute f_α with $\tilde{f}_\alpha$ in the estimate of Corollary 7.6 to get

$$[D_{x'} D^{m-1} u]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_R^+} \leq N(\|D^m u\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)} + \sum_{|\beta|<m} \|D^\beta u\|_{a, Q_{4R}^+}^* + F).$$

After implementing a standard interpolation inequality, for instant see Section 8.8 of [23] and Lemma 5.1 of [14], we prove Theorem 2.3. $\square$

7.2. The estimate of $D_d^m u$. In this subsection, we prove the Schauder estimate for $D_d^m u$. In this case, in view of the example in the introduction it is not sufficient that the coefficients are merely measurable in t . We need the coefficients to be Hölder continuous in t as well.

7.2.1. *Special system with coefficients depending only on t .* In this part, we study the special system which we introduced in Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 7.7. *Assume that $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+})$ satisfies*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 u = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \quad \text{in } Q_{2R}^+$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{2R}$. Moreover, $A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}$ and $f_{\hat{\alpha}}$ are constants, and $f_\alpha = f_\alpha(t)$ for $|\alpha| = m, \alpha \neq \hat{\alpha}$. Then for any $0 < r < R \leq 1$ and $X_0 \in \{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_R$, there exist a constant $N = N(d, n, m, \delta, K)$ and a constant vector c such that

$$\int_{Q_r^+(X_0)} |D_d^m u - c|^2 dx dt \leq N \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{4m+d} \int_{Q_R^+(X_0)} |D_d^m u - c|^2 dx dt.$$

Proof. Following the method in the proof of Lemma 4.3, it is obvious that the system and boundary condition can be written as

$$u_t + (-1)^m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 u = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_{2R}^+,$$

$$D_d^m u = (-1)^m (A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}})^{-1} f_{\hat{\alpha}}, \quad D_d^{m+1} u = \dots = D_d^{2m-1} u = 0 \quad \text{on } \{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{2R}.$$

Due to our assumption, $D_d^m u$ is constant on the boundary. Hence $v := D_d^m u - (-1)^m (A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}})^{-1} f_{\hat{\alpha}}$ satisfies

$$v_t + (-1)^m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 v = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_{2R}^+,$$

$$v = \dots = D_d^{m-1} v = 0 \quad \text{on } \{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{2R}.$$

From Lemma 4.6 of [11],

$$\int_{Q_r^+(X_0)} |v|^2 dx dt \leq N \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{4m+d} \int_{Q_R^+(X_0)} |v|^2 dx dt.$$

Setting $c = (-1)^m (A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}})^{-1} f_{\hat{\alpha}}$, we obtain the desired estimate. $\square$

We now use a scaling argument to handle $D_d^m u$ for systems with simple coefficients. Assume that u satisfies (7.32) and as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we define $v, \tilde{f}_\alpha$, and $\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta}$. Then v satisfies the following system

$$v_t + (-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} \mu^{\alpha_d+\beta_d-2m} D^\alpha (\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta}(t) D^\beta v) = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} \mu^{\alpha_d-2m} D^\alpha \tilde{f}_\alpha \quad (7.39)$$

in $T_\mu(Q_{4R}^+)$, with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap T_\mu(Q_{4R})$, where $T_\mu(t, x', x_d) = (\mu^{2m}t, x', \mu x_d)$. From now on, we consider the system of v with $\mu \geq 1$ and it is easy to see that $Q_{4R}^+ \subset T_\mu(Q_{4R}^+)$. Note that the regularity assumptions on $A^{\alpha\beta}$ and f_α are naturally inherited by $\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta}$ and $\tilde{f}_\alpha$. For instance, if $f_{\hat{\alpha}} \in C^{\frac{a}{2m}, a}$, then $\tilde{f}_{\hat{\alpha}} \in C^{\frac{a}{2m}, a}$.

Lemma 7.8. *Assume that $a \in (0, 1)$, $v \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{O}_\infty^+})$ satisfies (7.39) in Q_{4R}^+ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{4R}$, $\tilde{f}_{\hat{\alpha}} \in C^{\frac{a}{2m}, a}$ and $\tilde{f}_\alpha \in C^{a*}$ if $|\alpha| = m$ but $\alpha \neq \hat{\alpha}$, $\tilde{f}_\alpha \in L_\infty$ if $|\alpha| <$*

m . Moreover, $\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\beta}(t) \in C^{\frac{a}{2m}}(\mathbb{R})$. Then there exist two constants $N_1 = N_1(d, n, m, \delta, K, \langle \tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\beta} \rangle_{\frac{a}{2m}}, R, a)$ and $N_2 = N_2(d, n, m, \delta, K, R, a)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} [D_d^m v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_R^+} &\leq N_1 \|D^m v\|_{L^\infty(Q_{4R}^+)} + N_2 ([D_{x'} D^{m-1} v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_{4R}^+(X_0)} \\ &\quad + \mu^{-1} [D_d^m v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_{4R}^+} + \tilde{G}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{G} = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ \alpha \neq \hat{\alpha}}} [\tilde{f}_\alpha]^*_{a, Q_{4R}^+} + [\tilde{f}_{\hat{\alpha}}]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_{4R}^+} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|\tilde{f}_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(Q_{4R}^+)}. \quad (7.40)$$

Proof. We move all the spatial derivatives to the right-hand side and then add

$$(-1)^m \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^m v + (-1)^m D^{\hat{\alpha}}(\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(0) D^{\hat{\alpha}} v)$$

to both sides of the system so that

$$\begin{aligned} &v_t + (-1)^m (D^{\hat{\alpha}}(\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(0) D^{\hat{\alpha}} v) + \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^m v) \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha \hat{f}_\alpha + (-1)^m \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^m v + (-1)^{m+1} D^{\hat{\alpha}}((\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(t) - \tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(0)) D^{\hat{\alpha}} v), \end{aligned} \quad (7.41)$$

where $\hat{f}_\alpha = \mu^{\alpha_d - 2m} \tilde{f}_\alpha$ for $|\alpha| < m$,

$$\hat{f}_\alpha = \mu^{\alpha_d - 2m} \tilde{f}_\alpha + (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{|\beta|=m} \mu^{\alpha_d + \beta_d - 2m} \tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta}(t) D^\beta v$$

for $|\alpha| = m$ but $\alpha \neq \hat{\alpha}$, and

$$\hat{f}_{\hat{\alpha}} = \mu^{-m} \tilde{f}_{\hat{\alpha}} + (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq \hat{\alpha} \\ |\beta|=m}} \mu^{\beta_d - m} \tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\beta}(t) D^\beta v.$$

Notice that the left-hand side of (7.41) satisfies the conditions in Lemma 7.7 and similar to $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0$ we denote this operator as $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_0$. The only difference is that $A^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}$ is constant in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_0$.

We use basically the same argument as in Lemma 7.4 with slight modifications. Let $w \in \mathcal{H}_2^m((- (4R)^{2m}, 0) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d)$ be the solution of the following system

$$\begin{aligned} w_t + (-1)^m \hat{\mathcal{L}}_0 w &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha (\zeta \bar{f}_\alpha) + (-1)^m \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} D_j^m (\zeta (D_j^m v - D_j^m v(t, 0))) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{m+1} D^{\hat{\alpha}} (\zeta (\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(t) - \tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(0)) D^{\hat{\alpha}} v) \quad \text{in } (-(4R)^{2m}, 0) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d \end{aligned} \quad (7.42)$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$ and the zero initial condition on $\{-(4R)^{2m}\} \times \mathbb{R}_+^d$, where ζ is the same smooth function as in Lemma 7.4, $\bar{f}_{\hat{\alpha}} = \hat{f}_{\hat{\alpha}}(t, x) - \hat{f}_{\hat{\alpha}}(0, 0)$, $\bar{f}_\alpha = \hat{f}_\alpha(t, x) - \hat{f}_\alpha(t, 0)$ if $|\alpha| = m$ and

$\alpha \neq \hat{\alpha}$, and $\bar{f}_\alpha = \hat{f}_\alpha$ otherwise. Upon applying the mollification argument as in Lemma 4.7, we can assume that w is smooth. Then $\mathbf{v} := u - w$, satisfies

$$\mathbf{v}_t + (-1)^m \hat{\mathcal{L}}_0 \mathbf{v} = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ \alpha \neq \hat{\alpha}}} D^\alpha \hat{f}_\alpha(t, 0) + D^{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{f}_{\hat{\alpha}}(0, 0) + (-1)^m \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} D_j^{2m} v(t, 0) \quad (7.43)$$

in Q_{2R}^+ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{2R}$. Due to Lemma 7.7,

$$\int_{Q_r^+(X_0)} |D_d^m \mathbf{v} - c|^2 dx dt \leq N \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{4m+d} \int_{Q_R^+(X_0)} |D_d^m \mathbf{v} - c|^2 dx dt$$

for some constant vector c . Next we estimate w applying the same idea as in Lemma 7.4,

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta \int_{Q_{4R}^+} |D^m w|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq \sum_{|\alpha| < m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{Q_{4R}^+} \zeta(x) \mu^{\alpha_d-2m} \tilde{f}_\alpha D^\alpha w dx dt \\ & \quad - \int_{Q_{4R}^+} \zeta(\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(t) - \tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}}(0)) D^{\hat{\alpha}} v D^{\hat{\alpha}} w dx dt \\ & \quad + (-1)^m \int_{Q_{4R}^+} \zeta(x) (\hat{f}_{\hat{\alpha}}(t, x) - \hat{f}_{\hat{\alpha}}(0, 0)) D^{\hat{\alpha}} w dx dt \\ & \quad + N \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ \alpha \neq \hat{\alpha}}} (-1)^m \int_{Q_{4R}^+} \zeta(x) (\hat{f}_\alpha(t, x) - \hat{f}_\alpha(t, 0)) D^\alpha w dx dt \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \int_{Q_{4R}^+} \zeta(D_j^m v(t, x) - D_j^m v(t, 0)) D_j^m w dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (7.44)$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (7.44) can be dealt with in the same way as (7.35) in Lemma 7.4. Indeed, we use the same h as in the proof of Lemma 7.4, i.e., $h = w - P(x)$ where $P(x)$ is the vector-valued polynomial as in Lemma 3.4. Therefore, the inequality above holds with h in place of w in the first term on the right-hand side of the inequality above and the first term can be estimated as follows:

$$\int_{Q_{4R}^+} |\zeta \mu^{\alpha_d-2m} \tilde{f}_\alpha D^\alpha h| dx dt \leq N \mu^{\alpha_d-2m} \|\tilde{f}_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(Q_{4R}^+)} |Q_{4R}^+|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D^\alpha h\|_{L_2(Q_{4R}^+)}.$$

Then we apply Lemma 3.4 to h , which satisfies (7.42), and note that $\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}} \in C^{\frac{a}{2m}}(\mathbb{R})$ to bound the first term by

$$\begin{aligned} & N\mu^{\alpha_d-2m}\|\tilde{f}_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)}|Q_{4R}^+|^{\frac{1}{2}}(R^{m-|\alpha|}\|D^m w\|_{L_2(Q_{4R}^+)}) \\ & + \sum_{|\beta|\leq m} R^{3m+d/2-|\alpha|-|\beta|}\|\bar{f}_\beta\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)} \\ & + R^{2m+d/2-|\alpha|+a}([D_{x'}^m v]_{a,Q_{4R}^+}^* + \|D_d^m v\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)}) \end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of $\bar{f}_\alpha$ and notice that

$$\|\bar{f}_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)} \leq [\tilde{f}_\alpha]_{a,Q_{4R}^+}^* + N_2[D_{x'}D^{m-1}v]_{a,Q_{4R}^+}^* + N_2\mu^{-1}[D_d^m v]_{a,Q_{4R}^+}^*$$

for $|\alpha| = m$ but $\alpha \neq \hat{\alpha}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\bar{f}_{\hat{\alpha}}\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)} \\ & \leq [\tilde{f}_{\hat{\alpha}}]_{\frac{a}{2m},a,Q_{4R}^+} + N_2\langle \tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}} \rangle_{\frac{a}{2m}}\|D^m v\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)} + N_2[D_{x'}D^{m-1}v]_{\frac{a}{2m},a,Q_{4R}^+}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\alpha|<m} \int_{Q_{4R}^+} |\zeta(x)\mu^{\alpha_d-2m}\tilde{f}_\alpha D^\alpha w| dx dt \\ & \leq \varepsilon\|D^m w\|_{L_2(Q_{4R}^+)}^2 + N_2(\varepsilon) \sum_{|\alpha|<m} \|\tilde{f}_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)}^2 R^{2+2m+d} \\ & \quad + N_2(\varepsilon) \left(\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ \alpha \neq \hat{\alpha}}} [\tilde{f}_\alpha]_{a,Q_{4R}^+}^{*2} + [\tilde{f}_{\hat{\alpha}}]_{\frac{a}{2m},a,Q_{4R}^+}^2 + [D_{x'}D^{m-1}v]_{\frac{a}{2m},a,Q_{4R}^+}^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mu^{-1}[D_d^m v]_{\frac{a}{2m},a,Q_{4R}^+}^2 \right) R^{2a+d+2m} + N_1\|D^m v\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)}^2 R^{2a+d+2m} \end{aligned}$$

provided that $\mu \geq 1$.

For the other terms on the right-hand side of (7.44), following the proof of Lemma 7.4, we apply Young's inequality so that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the right-hand side of (7.44) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon\|D^m w\|_{L_2(Q_{4R}^+)}^2 + N_2(\varepsilon) \left(\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ \alpha \neq \hat{\alpha}}} [\tilde{f}_\alpha]_{a,Q_{4R}^+}^{*2} + [\tilde{f}_{\hat{\alpha}}]_{\frac{a}{2m},a,Q_{4R}^+}^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + [D_{x'}D^{m-1}v]_{\frac{a}{2m},a,Q_{4R}^+}^2 + \mu^{-1}[D_d^m v]_{\frac{a}{2m},a,Q_{4R}^+}^2 \right) R^{2a+2m+d} \\ & \quad + N_1\|D^m v\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)}^2 R^{2a+2m+d} + N_2 \sum_{|\alpha|<m} \|\tilde{f}_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)}^2 R^{2+2m+d}. \quad (7.45) \end{aligned}$$

After choosing ε sufficiently small, by the triangle inequality, (7.43) and (7.45) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_r^+(X_0)} |D_d^m v - c|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq N_2 \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{4m+d} \int_{Q_R^+(X_0)} |D_d^m v - c|^2 dx dt + IR^{2a+2m+d}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I := & N_2 \left(\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ \alpha \neq \hat{\alpha}}} [\tilde{f}_\alpha]_{a, Q_{4R}^+}^{*2} + N_1 \|D^m v\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha| < m} \|\tilde{f}_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)}^2 \right. \\ & \left. + [\tilde{f}_{\hat{\alpha}}]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_{4R}^+}^2 + [D_{x'} D^{m-1} v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_{4R}^+(X_0)}^2 + \mu^{-1} [D_d^m v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_{4R}^+}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 7.1, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_r^+(X_0)} |D_d^m v - c|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq N_2 \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{2a+2m+d} \int_{Q_R^+(X_0)} |D_d^m v - c|^2 dx dt + Ir^{2a+2m+d}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [D_d^m v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_R^+}^2 & \leq N_2 (R^{-(2a+d+2m)} \|D^m v - c\|_{L_2(Q_{4R}^+)}^2 + I) \\ & \leq N_2 ([D_{x'} D^{m-1} v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_{4R}^+}^2 + \mu^{-1} [D_d^m v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_{4R}^+}^2 + \tilde{G}^2) + N_1 \|D^m v\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we prove the lemma. $\square$

7.2.2. General systems with coefficients depending on both x and t . Similar to Lemma 7.8, we can estimate the highest normal derivative in the case of variable coefficients depending on both x and t . As before, we need more regularity assumptions on $\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta}$ and $\tilde{f}_\alpha$. Similar to Lemma 7.5 and Corollary 7.6, following the proof of Lemma 7.8, we can prove the lemma below.

Lemma 7.9. *Assume that $a \in (0, 1)$, $v \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{O_\infty^+})$ satisfies*

$$v_t + (-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} \mu^{\alpha_d+\beta_d-2m} D^\alpha (\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta v) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \mu^{\alpha_d-2m} D^\alpha \tilde{f}_\alpha$$

in Q_{4R}^+ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{4R}$ and $\tilde{f}_{\hat{\alpha}} \in C_{\frac{a}{2m}, a}$, $\tilde{f}_\alpha \in C^{a*}$ if $|\alpha| = m$ and $\alpha \neq \hat{\alpha}$, $\tilde{f}_\alpha \in L_\infty$ if $|\alpha| < m$, $\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\beta} \in C_{\frac{a}{2m}, a}$, and $\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta} \in C^{a*}$ for the other $|\alpha| = m$. Then there exist two constants $N_1 = N_1(d, n, m, \delta, K, \|\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\beta}\|_{\frac{a}{2m}, a}, \|\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta}\|_a^*, R, a)$ and $N_2 = N_2(d, n, m, \delta, K, R, a)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} [D_d^m v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_R^+} & \leq N_1 \|D^m v\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)} + N_2 ([D_{x'} D^{m-1} v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_{4R}^+} \\ & \quad + \mu^{-1} [D_d^m v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_{4R}^+} + \tilde{G}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{G}$ is defined in (7.40).

Furthermore, from Lemma 7.9 we follow the proof of the Theorem 2.3 moving the lower-order terms to the right-hand side regarded as part of $\tilde{f}_\alpha$ and noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\beta| < m} [\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_{4R}^+} \\ & \leq N \sum_{|\beta| < m} (\|\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{L_\infty} [D^\beta v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_{4R}^+} + [\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta}]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a} \|D^\beta v\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)}) \end{aligned}$$

to get the following lemma.

Lemma 7.10. *Assume that $a \in (0, 1)$, $v \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{Q_\infty^+})$ satisfies*

$$v_t + (-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m} \mu^{\alpha_d + \beta_d - 2m} D^\alpha (\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta v) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \mu^{\alpha_d - 2m} D^\alpha \tilde{f}_\alpha$$

in Q_{4R}^+ with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\} \cap Q_{4R}$ and $\tilde{f}_{\hat{\alpha}} \in C_{\frac{a}{2m}, a}^*$, $\tilde{f}_\alpha \in C^{a*}$ if $|\alpha| = m$ and $\alpha \neq \hat{\alpha}$, $\tilde{f}_\alpha \in L_\infty$ if $|\alpha| < m$, $\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\beta} \in C_{\frac{a}{2m}, a}^*$, and $\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta} \in C^{a*}$ for the other $|\alpha| = m$. Then there exist two constants $N_1 = N_1(d, n, m, \delta, K, \|\tilde{A}^{\hat{\alpha}\beta}\|_{\frac{a}{2m}, a}, \|\tilde{A}^{\alpha\beta}\|_a^*, R, a)$ and $N_2 = N_2(d, n, m, \delta, K, R, a)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} [D_d^m v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_R^+} & \leq N_1 \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} \|D^\beta v\|_{L_\infty(Q_{4R}^+)} + N_2 ([D_{x'} D^{m-1} v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_{4R}^+} \\ & \quad + \mu^{-1} [D_d^m v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_{4R}^+} + \sum_{|\beta| < m} [D^\beta v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_{4R}^+} + \tilde{G}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{G}$ is defined in (7.40).

Remark 7.11. Note that we can estimate $D_{x'} D^{m-1} v$ as well. In fact, all the proofs hold with v in place of u . The only difference is that the constant N in Theorem 2.3 may depend on μ .

8. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4

The following interior Schauder estimates of divergence type higher-order parabolic systems have been established in [11].

Proposition 8.1. *Assume that $R \in (0, 1]$ and $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ satisfies*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \quad \text{in } Q_{2R}.$$

Suppose that $A^{\alpha\beta} \in C^{a*}$, $f_\alpha \in C^{a*}$ if $|\alpha| = m$ where $a \in (0, 1)$, and $f_\alpha \in L_\infty$ if $|\alpha| < m$. Then there exists a constant $N(d, n, m, \lambda, K, \|A^{\alpha\beta}\|_a^*, R, a)$ such that

$$\langle u \rangle_{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{a}{2m}, Q_R} + [D^m u]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, Q_R} \leq N \left(\sum_{|\beta| \leq m} \|D^\beta u\|_{L_\infty(Q_{2R})} + F \right),$$

where

$$F = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} [f_\alpha]_{a,Q_{2R}}^* + \sum_{|\alpha|<m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(Q_{2R})}.$$

We have the following global estimate in $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d$.

Proposition 8.2. *Let $a \in (0, 1)$, $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}})$ satisfy*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $(0, T) \times \{x : x_d = 0\}$, and $f_{\hat{\alpha}} \in C^{\frac{a}{2m}, a}$, $f_\alpha \in C^{a*}$ if $|\alpha| = m$ and $\alpha \neq \hat{\alpha}$, $f_\alpha \in L_\infty$ if $|\alpha| < m$, $A^{\hat{\alpha}\beta} \in C^{\frac{a}{2m}, a}$, and $A^{\alpha\beta} \in C^{a*}$ for the other α . Then there exists a constant $N = N(d, n, m, \delta, K, \|A^{\hat{\alpha}\beta}\|_{\frac{a}{2m}, a}, \|A^{\alpha\beta}\|_{a^*}^*, a)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & [D^m u]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d} \\ & \leq N(\|D^m u\|_{L_\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d)} + \sum_{|\beta| < m} \|D^\beta u\|_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d} + G). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use a scaling argument and consider the equation of v in the corresponding domain $T_\mu((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d)$. If we can prove the inequality above for v , then after changing back to u , we prove the lemma. Applying the argument of partition of the unity, translation of the coordinates, Lemma 7.10, Remark 7.11 and the interior estimate Proposition 8.1, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & [D^m v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, (0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d} \\ & \leq N_1(\mu)(\|D^m v\|_{L_\infty((0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d)} + \sum_{|\beta| < m} \|D^\beta v\|_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, (0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d}) \\ & \quad + N_2(\mu^{-1}[D_d^m v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, (0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d} + \tilde{G}), \end{aligned}$$

where $N_1(\mu)$ depends on μ , but N_2 does not, and $T_1 = \mu^{2m}T$. Let μ be sufficiently large such that $N_2\mu^{-1}[D_d^m v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d}$ is absorbed to the left-hand side. Then we fix this μ and obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & [D^m v]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, (0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d} \\ & \leq N(\|D^m v\|_{L_\infty((0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d)} + \sum_{|\beta| < m} \|D^\beta v\|_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, (0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d} + \tilde{G}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we prove the proposition. $\square$

Moreover, we can estimate the regularity of u in the t variable. First we state a lemma which is a particular case of Lemma 3.3 in [11].

Lemma 8.3. *There exists a function $\xi \in C_0^\infty(B_1^+)$ with unit integral such that for any $0 < |\alpha| \leq m$,*

$$\int_{B_1^+} \xi(y) y^\alpha dy = 0.$$

Next, we show the estimate in the t variable by applying the method in Proposition 4.1 in [11] which corresponds to the interior estimates.

Proposition 8.4. *Suppose that $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}})$ and satisfies*

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \quad \text{in } Q_{2R}^+$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $\{x_d = 0\}$. The coefficients of $\mathcal{L}$ and f_α satisfy the same condition as in Theorem 2.4. Then there exists a constant $N = N(d, m, n, \delta, K, \|A^{\alpha\beta}\|_a^*, R, a)$ such that

$$\langle u \rangle_{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{a}{2m}, Q_R^+} \leq N(\|D^m u\|_{a, Q_{2R}^+}^* + \sum_{|\beta| < m} \|D^\beta u\|_{L_\infty(Q_{2R}^+)} + F).$$

Proof. We estimate $|u(t, x_0) - u(s, x_0)|$, where $(t, x_0), (s, x_0) \in Q_R^+$. Let $\rho = |t - s|^{\frac{1}{2m}}$ and $\eta(x) = \frac{1}{\rho^d} \xi(\frac{x}{\rho})$, where $\xi(x)$ is the function in Lemma 8.3. We define

$$\bar{u}(t, y) = u(t, y) - T_{m, x_0} u(t, y) + u(t, x_0),$$

where $T_{m, x_0} u(t, y)$ is the Taylor expansion of u in y at x_0 up to m th order. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t, x_0) - u(s, x_0)| &\leq |u(t, x_0) - \int_{B_\rho^+(x_0)} \eta(x_0 - y) \bar{u}(t, y) dy| \\ &\quad + |u(s, x_0) - \int_{B_\rho^+(x_0)} \eta(x_0 - y) \bar{u}(s, y) dy| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{B_\rho^+(x_0)} \eta(x_0 - y) \bar{u}(s, y) dy - \int_{B_\rho^+(x_0)} \eta(x_0 - y) \bar{u}(t, y) dy \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (8.46)$$

The first two terms on the right-hand side can be estimated in a similar fashion: noting that $\int_{B_\rho^+} \eta(x) dx = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|u(t, x_0) - \int_{B_\rho^+(x_0)} \eta(x_0 - y) \bar{u}(t, y) dy| \\ &= \left| \int_{B_\rho^+(x_0)} \eta(x_0 - y) (u(t, x_0) - \bar{u}(t, y)) dy \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|\bar{u}(t, y) - u(t, x_0)| = |u(t, y) - T_{m, x_0} u(t, y)| \leq C[D^m u]_{a, Q_{2R}^+}^* |y - x_0|^{m+a},$$

we get

$$|u(t, x_0) - \int_{B_\rho^+(x_0)} \eta(x_0 - y) \bar{u}(t, y) dy| \leq C[D^m u]_{a, Q_{2R}^+}^* \rho^{m+a}.$$

For the last term of the right-hand side of (8.46), by the definition of η ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{B_\rho^+(x_0)} \eta(x_0 - y) \bar{u}(t, y) dy - \int_{B_\rho^+(x_0)} \eta(x_0 - y) \bar{u}(s, y) dy \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{B_\rho^+(x_0)} \eta(x_0 - y) u(t, y) dy - \int_{B_\rho^+(x_0)} \eta(x_0 - y) u(s, y) dy \right| \\
&= \left| \int_s^t \int_{B_\rho^+(x_0)} \eta(x_0 - y) u_t(\tau, y) dy d\tau \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Because u satisfies the equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_s^t \int_{B_\rho^+(x_0)} \eta(x_0 - y) u_t(\tau, y) dy d\tau \right| \\
&= \left| \int_s^t \int_{B_\rho^+(x_0)} \eta(x_0 - y) \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m} D^\alpha ((-1)^{m+1} A^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta u(\tau, y)) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \right) dy d\tau \right|. \tag{8.47}
\end{aligned}$$

We can substitute f_α by $f_\alpha(t, x) - f_\alpha(t, x_0)$ when $|\alpha| = m$ since η has compact support in $B_\rho^+(x_0)$. Moreover, for $|\alpha| = m$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& D^\alpha (A^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta u) \\
&= D^\alpha ((A^{\alpha\beta} - A^{\alpha\beta}(t, x_0)) D^\beta u) + D^\alpha (A^{\alpha\beta}(t, x_0) (D^\beta u - D^\beta u(t, x_0))).
\end{aligned}$$

We plug all these into (8.47) and integrate by parts. It follows easily that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_s^t \int_{B_\rho^+(x_0)} \eta(x_0 - y) u_t(\tau, y) dy d\tau \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ |\beta| \leq m}} ([A^{\alpha\beta}]_a^* \|D^\beta u\|_{L_\infty(Q_{2R}^+)} + [D^\beta u]_{a, Q_{2R}^+}^* \|A^{\alpha\beta}\|_{L_\infty}) \\
&\quad \cdot \rho^a \int_{Q_\rho^+(X_0)} |D^m \eta(x_0 - y)| dy d\tau \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| < m \\ |\beta| \leq m}} \|A^{\alpha\beta}\|_{L_\infty} \|D^\beta u\|_{L_\infty(Q_{2R}^+)} \int_{Q_\rho^+(X_0)} |D^\alpha \eta(x_0 - y)| dy d\tau \\
&\quad + \sum_{|\alpha| < m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(Q_{2R}^+)} \int_{Q_\rho^+(X_0)} |D^\alpha \eta(x_0 - y)| dy d\tau \\
&\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^m \eta\|_{L_\infty} [f_\alpha]_{a, Q_{2R}^+}^* |Q_\rho^+| \rho^a,
\end{aligned}$$

which is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & C \sum_{|\beta|=m} \|D^\beta u\|_{a, Q_{2R}^+}^* \rho^{m+a} + C \sum_{|\alpha|=m} [f_\alpha]_{a, Q_{2R}^+}^* \rho^{m+a} \\ & + C \sum_{|\alpha|<m} (\|f_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(Q_{2R}^+)} + \|D^\alpha u\|_{L_\infty(Q_{2R}^+)}) \rho^{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used $\|D^\alpha \eta\|_{L_\infty} \leq C \rho^{-d-|\alpha|}$ for any $|\alpha| \leq m$. Hence,

$$|u(t, x_0) - u(s, x_0)| \leq C \rho^{m+a} (\|D^m u\|_{a, Q_{2R}^+}^* + \sum_{|\beta|<m} \|D^\beta u\|_{L_\infty(Q_{2R}^+)} + F).$$

The proof is completed. $\square$

Following the idea in proving Theorem 2.1 of [11], due to Proposition 8.2, Proposition 8.4, the standard arguments of partition of the unity and flattening the boundary, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle u \rangle_{\frac{a+m}{2m}, (0,T) \times \Omega} + [D^m u]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, (0,T) \times \Omega} \\ & \leq N(\|D^m u\|_{L_\infty((0,T) \times \Omega)} + \sum_{|\beta|<m} \|D^\beta u\|_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, (0,T) \times \Omega} + G). \end{aligned}$$

By the interpolation inequalities in Hölder spaces, for instance see Section 8.8 of [23],

$$\langle u \rangle_{\frac{a+m}{2m}, (0,T) \times \Omega} + [D^m u]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, (0,T) \times \Omega} \leq N(\|u\|_{L_\infty((0,T) \times \Omega)} + G).$$

Applying the interpolation inequalities again, we get

$$\|u\|_{L_\infty((0,T) \times \Omega)} \leq N(\varepsilon) \|u\|_{L_2((0,T) \times \Omega)} + \varepsilon ([D^m u]_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, (0,T) \times \Omega} + \langle u \rangle_{\frac{a+m}{2m}, (0,T) \times \Omega}).$$

Upon taking ε sufficiently small, we arrive at

$$\|u\|_{\frac{a+m}{2m}, a+m, (0,T) \times \Omega} \leq N(\|u\|_{L_2((0,T) \times \Omega)} + G),$$

which is (2.8). In order to implement the continuity argument to prove the existence of solutions, we need the right-hand side of (2.8) to be independent of u and this leads us to consider the following system:

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}u + \kappa u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \quad (8.48)$$

with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $(0, T) \times \partial\Omega$ and the zero initial condition on $\{0\} \times \Omega$. We choose κ large enough, such that

$$\|u\|_{L_2((0,T) \times \Omega)} \leq NG, \quad (8.49)$$

where $N = N(d, n, m, \lambda, K, \|A^{\alpha\beta}\|_a^*, \Omega)$. To prove (8.49), we rewrite the system as

$$u_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}_h u + \kappa u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha + (-1)^{m+1} (\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_h) u.$$

By the definition of the conormal derivative boundary condition and the ellipticity of $A^{\alpha\beta}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta \|D^m u\|_{L_2((0,T)\times\Omega)}^2 + \kappa \|u\|_{L_2((0,T)\times\Omega)}^2 \\
& \leq \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} (-1)^{|\alpha|} f_\alpha D^\alpha u \, dx \, dt \\
& \quad - \sum_{|\alpha|=m, |\beta|<m} \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} A^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta u D^\alpha u \, dx \, dt \\
& \quad + (-1)^{m+1+|\alpha|} \sum_{|\alpha|<m, |\beta|\leq m} \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} A^{\alpha\beta} D^\beta u D^\alpha u \, dx \, dt. \tag{8.50}
\end{aligned}$$

We use the Schwarz inequality, Young's inequality, and the interpolation inequality to bound the right-hand side by

$$\begin{aligned}
& N(n, m, d, \delta, K, \Omega, \varepsilon) \left(\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L_2((0,T)\times\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{L_2((0,T)\times\Omega)}^2 \right) \\
& + \varepsilon \|D^m u\|_{L_2((0,T)\times\Omega)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

After taking ε sufficiently small to absorb the term $\varepsilon \|D^m u\|_{L_2((0,T)\times\Omega)}^2$ to the left-hand side of (8.50) and choosing κ sufficiently large, we reach (8.49). Combining (8.49) and (2.8), we get the following lemma.

Lemma 8.5. *Assume that $\mathcal{L}, \Omega$, and f_α satisfy all the conditions in Theorem 2.4 and $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ satisfies (8.48), with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $(0, T) \times \partial\Omega$, the zero initial condition on $\{0\} \times \Omega$ and κ large enough, then*

$$\|u\|_{\frac{a+m}{2m}, a+m, (0,T)\times\Omega} \leq NG, \tag{8.51}$$

where $N = N(d, m, n, \delta, K, \|A^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\frac{a}{2m}, a, \Omega})$.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.4.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. We only need to prove the solvability. Since u satisfies (8.48) with the conormal derivative boundary condition on $(0, T) \times \partial\Omega$ and the zero initial condition on $\{0\} \times \Omega$, the function $v := e^{-\kappa t} u$ satisfies

$$v_t + (-1)^m \mathcal{L}v + \kappa v = e^{-\kappa t} \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha$$

with the corresponding conormal derivative boundary condition and initial condition. We then reduce the problem to the solvability of v . By Lemma 8.5, (8.51) holds for v . Consider the following equation

$$v_t + (-1)^m (s \mathcal{L}v + (1-s) \delta_{ij} \Delta^m v) + \kappa v = e^{-\kappa t} \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha$$

with the same boundary condition and initial condition, where the parameter $s \in [0, 1]$. It is known that when $s = 0$ there is a unique solution in

$C^{\frac{a+m}{2m}, a+m}((0, T) \times \Omega)$. Then by the method of continuity and the a priori estimate (8.51), we find a solution when $s = 1$. The theorem is proved. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] AGMON S., DOUGLIS A., NIRENBERG L.: Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions, I, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **12** (1959), 623–727; II, *ibid.*, **17** (1964), 35–92.
- [2] BRAMANTI M., CERUTTI M.: $W_p^{1,2}$ solvability for the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem for parabolic equations with VMO coefficients, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **18** (1993), no.9-10, 1735–1763.
- [3] BRAMANTI M., CERUTTI M., MANFREDINI M.: L^p estimates for some ultraparabolic operators with discontinuous coefficients, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **200** (1996), no. 2, 332–354.
- [4] CAMPANATO S.: Equazioni paraboliche del secondo ordine e spazi $\mathcal{L}^{2,\theta}(\Omega, \delta)$, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **73** (1966), 55–102.
- [5] CHIARENZA F., FRASCA M., LONGO P.: Interior $W^{2,p}$ estimates for nondivergence elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients, *Ricerche Mat.* **40** (1991), no. 1, 149–168.
- [6] CHIARENZA F., FRASCA M., LONGO P.: $W^{2,p}$ -solvability of the Dirichlet problem for nondivergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **336** (1993), no. 2, 841–853.
- [7] DONG H., KIM D.: L_p solvability of divergence type parabolic and elliptic systems with partially BMO coefficients, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **40** (2011), no. 3-4, 357–389.
- [8] DONG H., KIM D.: Higher order elliptic and parabolic systems with variably partially BMO coefficients in regular and irregular domains, *J. Funct. Anal.* **261**, (2011), no. 11, 3279–3327.
- [9] DONG H., KIM D.: On the L_p solvability of higher order parabolic and elliptic systems with BMO coefficients, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **199** (2011), no. 3, 889–941.
- [10] DONG H., KIM D.: The conormal derivative problem for higher order elliptic systems with irregular coefficients, *Contemp. Math.*, **581** (2012), 69–97.
- [11] DONG H., ZHANG H.: The Schauder estimates for higher order parabolic systems with time irregular coefficients, *preprint*, arXiv:1307.5013.
- [12] DI FAZIO G.: L^p estimates for divergence form elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (7)* **10** (1996), no. 2, 409–420.
- [13] FRIEDMAN A.: “Partial differential equation of parabolic type”, Prentice-Hall, Englewood cliffs, N.J., 2008.
- [14] GIAQUINTA M.: “Introduction to regularity theory for nonlinear elliptic systems”, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1993.
- [15] KNERR B. F.: Parabolic interior Schauder estimates by the maximum principle, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **75** (1980/81), no. 1, 51–58.
- [16] LIEBERMAN G.: The conormal derivative problem for elliptic equations of variational type, *J. Differential Equations* **49** (1983), no. 2, 218–257.
- [17] LIEBERMAN G.: Hölder continuity of the gradient of solutions of uniformly parabolic equations with conormal boundary conditions, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **148** (1987), 77–99.
- [18] LIEBERMAN G.: Intermediate Schauder theory for second order parabolic equations IV: time irregularity and regularity, *Differential and Integral Equations* **5** (1992), no. 6, 1219–1236.
- [19] LIEBERMAN G.: The conormal derivative problem for equations of variational type in nonsmooth domains, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **330** (1992), no. 1, 41–67.

- [20] LIEBERMAN G.: “Second order parabolic differential equations”, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1996.
- [21] LIEBERMAN G.: “Oblique derivative problem for elliptic equations”, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc, Hackensack, NJ, 2013.
- [22] LORENZI L.: Optimal Schauder estimates for parabolic problems with data measurable with respect to time, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **32** (2000), no. 3, 588–615.
- [23] KRYLOV N.V.: “Lectures on elliptic and parabolic equations in Hölder spaces”. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [24] KRYLOV N.V.: On weak uniqueness for some diffusions with discontinuous coefficients, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, **113** (2004), no. 1, 37–64.
- [25] KRYLOV N.V.: Parabolic and elliptic equations with VMO coefficients, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **32** (2007), no. 1-3, 453–475.
- [26] KRYLOV N.V.: Parabolic equations with VMO coefficients in Sobolev spaces with mixed norms, *J. Funct. Anal.* **250** (2007), no. 2, 521–558.
- [27] KRYLOV N.V.: “Lectures on elliptic and parabolic equations in Sobolev spaces”, American Mathematical Society, 2008.
- [28] KRYLOV N. V., PRIOLA E.: Elliptic and parabolic second-order PDEs with growing coefficients, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*. **35** (2010), no. 1, 1–22.
- [29] MAUGERI A., PALAGACHEV D., SOFTOVA L.: Elliptic and Parabolic Equations with Discontinuous Coefficients, *Mathematical Research*, **109**. Wiley-VCH, Berlin, 2000.
- [30] PALAGACHEV D., SOFTOVA L.: A priori estimates and precise regularity for parabolic systems with discontinuous data, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **13** (2005), no. 3, 721–742.
- [31] BOCCIA S.: Schauder estimates for solutions of higher-order parabolic systems, *Methods Appl. Anal.* **20** (2013), no. 1, 47–67.
- [32] SCHLAG W.: Schauder and L^p estimates for parabolic system via Campanato spaces, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **21** (1996), 1141–1175.

(H. Dong) DIVISION OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, 182 GEORGE STREET, PROVIDENCE, RI 02912, USA

E-mail address: Hongjie.Dong@brown.edu

(H. Zhang) DIVISION OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, 182 GEORGE STREET, PROVIDENCE, RI 02912, USA

E-mail address: Hong_Zhang@brown.edu